council on environmental quality

102 monitor

environmental impact statements

Volume 5, No. 12
January, 1976

CEQ HOLDS ENVIRONMENTAL INDUSTRY CONFERENCE

Environmental expenditures are now providing the nation with over one million jobs, according to "Environmental Control Industry," a new study prepared for the CEQ-sponsored Environmental Industry Conference. Chairman Russell Peterson underscored the conclusions of the study in his remarks that "...(the study) provides a forceful rebuttal to the thesis that we must choose either a healthy economy or a healthy environment; on the contrary, it suggests, economic health and environmental health are interrelated." (page3)

CONTENTS

Environmental Industry Conference	3
Remarks by Dr. Peterson	5
State-County Index of EISs Filed During December, 1975	18
Agency Index of EISs Filed During December, 1975	25
Statements Filed During December, 1975	30
Cummulative Summary	81
EPA Listings	82
102 Monitor Subscription Information	105

CEQ
EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY • 722 JACKSON PLACE NW • WASHINGTON DC 20006

News Release

Pollution Control Programs Provide
1.1 Million Jobs in U.S., CEQ Says

The President's Council on Environmental Quality, in a new study, reports that industry, federal, state and local spending for the environment, together with expenditures for operating and maintenance, is currently providing the nation with over 1 million jobs.

And the study, which was prepared for the Council by two Wall Street analysts, concludes that "environmental control-related employment has been one of the relatively few areas of job strength during the recent recession.

"As pollution control programs are implemented and spending continues to rise accordingly, employment levels in this area could expand several fold over the next decade," the study states.

According to the report, 1975 expenditures for pollution control by all sectors of the economy total $15.7 billions. Using data from the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, the authors estimated that these expenditures are generating 1.1 million jobs for the economy as a whole.

The study notes that, by contrast, Environmental Protection Agency studies show 75 plant closings from January, 1971 to June, 1975, affecting 13,900 employees.

"But," the study declares, "the increase in unemployment caused by these potential closings will be less than is suggested. Lost production can be shifted to other plants, sometimes within the same firm."

The study points out that the affected plants are older, smaller and marginally profitable.

"It is the relative inefficiency of these plants ... that is the major factor in a decision to close rather than modernize," the report states. "In many instances, then, environmental regulations tend to accelerate already inevitable plant closings.

"Given the increase in environmental expenditures projected over the next decade, the number of jobs could increase several times over. Thus, it appears that governmental programs and expenditures supporting pollution control will have a beneficial impact on employment, a particularly noteworthy consequence in view of current unemployment levels," the study concluded.

The study places 1974 sales of air and water pollution equipment at $1.7 billion and estimates that the environmental industry "could be called upon to provide equipment valued at $33.9 billion (in 1974 dollars) between 1974 and 1983.

"Related pollution abatement activities could total another $10.5 billion (also in 1974 dollars) during this period," the study declares.

The study also identified substantial foreign markets for U.S. manufactured pollution control equipment.

According to the Commerce Department, exports of pollution control equipment, which amounted to $214 million in 1969, rose to $331 million in 1973.

"The Commerce Department also projects that export volume in the future should increase at a faster pace than the 10 percent rate of yearly growth experienced since 1969," the authors noted.

"Proper allocation of equipment and services used in pollution control can contribute significantly to the efficient use of this nation's many and varied resources. Pollutants are generally the by-product of some process that may be expedient but inefficient or wasteful of our natural resources," the study states.

The study notes that pollution control equipment and procedures have resulted in increased profits for some users and concludes that "the real challenge for pollution control equipment manufacturers is to work with industry to improve process technologies in terms of both cost effectiveness and energy efficiency."

- more -

The study's authors -- Kenneth Leung of F. Eberstadt and Company and Jeffrey H. Klein of Kidder Peabody and Company, Inc., concluded that "when all factors are considered, including the impact on health and property, there does seem to be an outright economic advantage to pollution control."

"Today, plants that pollute are obsolescent and inefficient. Their failure to modernize will threaten the jobs of their employees,"CEQ Chairman Russell W. Peterson said in issuing the study.

"We are looking for jobs in America," Dr. Peterson continued. "Thus, it is important that we stimulate activities that create jobs. The clearly expressed desire of our fellow citizens for clean air, clean water, less noise and less waste provide such a stimulus. Enterprises that fill these needs can be among the most productive in our economy, protecting our health and our prosperity, saving valuable resources, and adding aesthetic qualities to our lives."

The 138-page study was prepared for the CEQ-sponsored Environmental Industry Conference which was held in Washington, December 9 and 10, under the supervision CEQ's Senior Economist, Dr. Edwin Clark, and is available from the Council's Public Information Office, 722 Jackson Place, N.W., Washington, D. C. 20006.

# # #

Remarks by Russell W. Peterson
Chairman, Council on Environmental Quality
Environmental Industry Conference
Mayflower Hotel, Washington, D. C.

OLD QUESTIONS, NEW ANSWERS:

Changing Concepts of Economic Growth
in an Environmental Age

I wish not only to welcome you to this Conference, but also to thank you for your presence here. I hope you will find the ensuing discussions helpful, and your investment of time and money in coming here to be productive. The Council on Environmental Quality has tried to design this Conference to meet those aims.

But let me confess frankly that there has been a certain Machiavellian strategy in asking you to meet here -- a kind of P.R. attempt to alter the image of the environmental movement. Judging from conversations I've had with various movers and shakers in this town, anyone associated with environmental action is perceived in a uniform, unvarying way.

Number one, the environmentalist has never met a payroll. On the contrary, he holds some frivolous job in a marginal enterprise -- canary-breeding, perhaps, or the painstaking fabrication of hand-woven jump-ropes. Inevitably he sports a beard, wears a purposely rumpled tweed jacket with leather patches at the elbows, and -- when the lights are turned down low -- he smokes pot. Most pernicious of all, he probably reads Karl Marx. Maybe even in the original kraut.

Number two, while healthy, robust, virile members of American society spend their weekends playing golf, tennis, or bridge, the environmentalist is darting over field and fen, binoculars at the ready, panting at the prospect of sighting a Yellow-Throated Warbler before any other member of his encounter-group does so.

Number three, he eats organic foods. While other families are gorging themselves on Steak Diane, the environmentalist's family squeaks by on lentil soup and patties of soybean flour shaped to resemble pork chops. The closest they've been to meat in the last three years was a visit to Smokey the Bear. The environmentalist proclaims that he will never kill any living thing, and that the mosquito has as much right to two weeks in Miami Beach as you or I.

Before I receive an angry phone call from the Audubon Society, I want to stress that this caricature does not resemble reality. Nonetheless, this is the picture that emerges from my contacts with many of those who are unsympathetic to the environmental movement. Hence, I thought it might be corrective to gather in Washington a group of people, associated with the environment, who defy all these stereotypes: people who wear $200 suits; people who smoke cigars; people who can spot a Dow-Jones Average much faster than a Yellow-Throated Warbler; people who can discuss a sinking-fund debenture without blinking; people who have met a payroll; and people who, finally, are involved in the business of turning an unhealthy environment into a healthy profit.

The demand of our people for clean air and clean water is now established -- not as a fad, but as a permanent requirement of our way of life. And our free enterprise system is responding: our laboratories and factories are now producing the ideas, the processes, and the products necessary to satisfy that demand. In so doing, they are creating hundreds of thousands of jobs and numerous new investment opportunities. In fact, the fledgling environmental industry is one of the few growth sectors bucking the tide of the recession.

We are looking for jobs in America. Thus it is important that we stimulate activities that create jobs. The clearly expressed desire of our fellow citizens for clean air, clean water, less noise, and less waste provide such a stimulus. Enterprises that fill these needs can be among the most productive in our economy, protecting our health and our prosperity, saving valuable resources, and adding aesthetic qualities to our lives.

The free enterprise system thrives on competition in providing consumers with the products or services that they demand. We know that companies that produce a better product at a given price gain an edge over their competitors. We know that industries that modernize their processes will win out over those who stick with obsolescent, inefficient methods.

Today, plants that pollute are obsolescent and inefficient. Their failure to modernize will threaten the jobs of their employees. The rules of the game now require that any business that wishes to ply its trade in our country must do so without polluting the environment.

In spite of the fact, then, that this industry is another expression of free enterprise at work, we repeatedly encounter the argument that investments in environmental protection are non-productive; that they are pure cost; that they drain funds badly needed for productive investment in other parts of the economy.

In good times, such objections might be dismissed as routine complaints about the new, the unfamiliar. These days, however, they are given new force because of our shaky economic position. Despite some recent signs of improvement, unemployment remains high, at about 8.3 percent; inflation, which boosts the cost of all goods and services, is particularly acute in construction; and a shortage of investment capital has kept the cost of borrowing uncomfortably high. All these factors have intensified objections to environmental regulation in government as well as in industry; we've got to relax our standards a while, the critics say, and give our economy a chance to recover.

This argument has a surface persuasiveness; yet one can dig a little deeper in our economic data and argue quite the reverse. For, while many critics have been busily denouncing environmentalists as impractical do-gooders who care more about birds than about people, a new private, free-enterprise industry has grown up to satisfy the demand of the American people for a clean and healthy country to live in. And -- just as any other such industry does -- this one creates jobs, profit opportunities, and a market for investment.

It is the purpose of this Conference to explore the other, less widely recognized side of the argument between economics and the environment. In addition, we hope to give some visibility to an industry which -- while still comparatively new and small -- promises to become a permanent and profitable sector of American economic life.

In preparation for this Conference, CEQ commissioned a study of the industry by two financial analysts, Kenneth Leung and Jeffrey Klein. This study -- copies of which are available to you -- offers a profile of the pollution control industry, and analyzes the benefits as well as the costs associated with environmental investment. In my opinion, it provides a forceful rebuttal to the thesis that we must choose either a healthy economy or a healthy environment; on the contrary, it suggests, economic health and environmental health are interrelated.

Let me begin by looking at the cost side of environmental repair. CEQ estimates that U.S. expenditures for environmental improvement as a result of Federal legislation will amount to about $200 billion over the 10-year period from 1974 through 1983. This includes both public and private expenditures, and covers environmental legislation related to air, water, noise, radiation, solid wastes, and stripmining. Approximately 25 percent of these expenditures will represent capital investments in plant and equipment; the rest will go for operating and maintenance.

It's worth emphasizing that equipment costs represent a relatively small part of pollution control investment. On a typical wastewater treatment plant, for example, equipment costs are only 10 to 22 percent of the total capital cost. Such plants are construction-intensive, with land, labor, brick, mortar, pipes, and other plant costs of sewage collection and treatment making up approximately 85 percent of expenditures. Th total cost for constructing a 15-million gallon-a-day secondary sewage treatment plant is approximately $15 million. Of this amount, $2.3 million, or 16 percent, goes to purchase wastewater equipment. Almost three times that much goes for other equipment or facilities such as buildings, pipe, valves, heating, plumbing, ventilating, and site preparation. The labor costs for constructing the building and installing the equipment would be $5.8 million.

The Federal Government plays the key role in the wastewater treatment business. First, it establishes the laws and regulations that require the program be carried out. In other words, it creates the market. Second, it provides 75 percent of the funds and determines when and where these funds will be released.

In 1970, the year we first celebrated Earth Day, the Federal Government disbursed $176 million for municipal wastewater treatment facilities. This fiscal year (1976) $2.2 billion or 12 times as much will be disbursed providing approximately 150,000 jobs. The rate of expenditure will probably double over the next few years.

At present, and for the next few years, the Nation is spending unusual amounts on environmental improvement to compensate for decades of neglect. Even so, estimated environmental expenditures amount to less than two percent of our GNP -- and even this figure will fall after we catch up with the backlog of pollution control investment and our GNP increases. Put another way, the per capita cost of pollution abatement in 1974 was between $34 and $40.

These are direct, quantifiable investments about which there is little dispute. After this, however, it becomes more and more difficult to obtain agreement about the costs and benefits of environmental improvement.

For example, it is often asserted that environmental programs cause unemployment. Because it was concerned about this possibility, the Environmental Protection Agency established an Early Waarning System to monitor threatened and actual plant closings. Since 1971, EPA received indication of 75 plant closings affecting approximately 15,700 jobs, or sixteen thousandths of one percent of the labor force. Even in those plant closings, however, environmental regulations were only one consideration in closing down marginally productive, older plants that were on the brink of being phased out.

On the positive side, environmental spending creates jobs. Given the mix of expenditures made in 1970, the Bureau of Labor Statistics estimated that each billion dollars of Federal expenditures on pollution control generated 66,900 jobs. This year, Federal spending for pollution control will run about $4.2 billion; private industry will spend about $10 billion, for operation and maintenance as well as for capital equipment, and state and local governments will spend another $1.5 billion -- for a grand total of $15.7 billion. If each billion dollars' expenditure for pollution control generates an average of 70,000 jobs, then total employment related to 1975 spending would exceed 1 million.

Thus, the charge that environmental spending increases unemployment simply does not hold up. On the contrary, as CEQ's study comments, "environmental control-related employment has been one of the relatively few areas of job strength during the current recession."

The "energy crisis" has given the critics of environmental improvement another weapon -- or so they claim. Certainly decisions on environmental quality must take the energy crisis into account -- but the Klein Leung report indicates that our current use of energy for pollution control is quite modest. The direct energy required to operate SO_2 controls on all U.S. power plants, to operate tertiary municipal wastewater treatment plants for the entire U.S. population, and to collect and properly dispose of solid waste would add up to 747 trillion BTU's per year. That amounts to 1.04 percent of total U.S. energy demand at present -- and less than twice the amount of energy we used in 1963 to air-condition American homes. If all our power plants were using 3.5 percent sulfur-content fuels, they would need 62 trillion BTU's annually to meet environmental standards through the operation of limestone scrubbers -- about the same amount of energy we used in 1969 to run our electric frying pans. Providing tertiary wastewater treatment to the entire population would require 182 trillion BTU's of electrical energy -- about twice the amount we used in 1969 to operate electrical irons.

On the whole, then the energy penalty associated with pollution control appears relatively small. Easing pollution control requirements would not significantly reduce energy consumption -- whereas a cut-back in environmental cleanup would produce other problems that would require more energy and investment further up the line.

What I have said so far amounts to an argument that industry's costs for pollution control are well within the capacity of our economy to sustain, even in a sluggish period. This is, of course, an entirely negative perspective, one that completely neglects the positive benefits of pollution control in terms of improved health, safety, and general quality of life. Some of these benefits can be quantified in dollar terms, others cannot. Still others can only be guessed at, because the very nature of the beast is that you are trying to quantify something that did not happen. Health benefits are a prime example of this: how can you estimate the economic cost of sicknesses that never occurred, because they were prevented by environmental controls?

Occasionally, however, we can look back at sickness that occurred because environmental protection measures were not in effect. The English government estimates that in London, in 1952, a five-day period of extreme air pollution caused 4,000 deaths; during those five days, 1,100 patients were admitted to London hospitals daily -- 48 percent more than normal. Annual pollution damages to health and property resulting from that five-day episode were estimated at $700 million -- and there is, of course, no method for quantifying the value of a human life in terms that make sense to anyone but an insurance company.

In our own country, the medical profession is pretty well agreed that a high percentage of cancer is caused by environmental factors -- as much as 70 to 80 percent. We know that many chemicals cause cancer in test animals, and that some cause it in human beings. We also know that we have been adding new chemicals to our environment at a rapid pace: literally thousands are discovered by the U.S. chemical industry annually, and hundreds are introduced commercially -- usually before we have any solid knowledge about their long-term health effects. Finally, by charting cancer incidence county-by-county, instead of by state, we see good correlations between frequency of the disease and areas of heavy industrial activity -- such as along the Mississippi, by the Delta.

Because cancer has such a long latency period -- on the order of 15 to 40 years -- we do not know how many people have already contracted the disease, but have yet to manifest the symptoms. We do know, however, that about $1.8 billion is expended solely for the hospital care of cancer patients each year; additional costs -- for doctor bills, out-patient therapy, and other treatment-related fees -- raise the total expenditure well above ten billion dollars. In view of the long latency period for cancer, we can only hope that pollution abatement measures have been introduced in time to prevent this toll from multiplying over the next decades.

While it is difficult to evaluate the health benefits of environmental measures, it is clear that those measures are producing direct savings in the industrial sector -- by stimulating innovations that increase the productivity of materials and energy. A prime example of this is the paper industry. Roughly 60 percent of a tree is worthless for paper -- and in the past, paper companies have dumped their mill wastes or sold them very cheaply. But with the rise in chemical prices over the last several years; the increasing cost of pollution control measures; and a desire to squeeze as much profit as possible from existing facilities, paper companies have begun taking a harder look at their industrial garbage -- and they've found some money in there.

According to the Wall Street Journal, Union Camp used to sell its mill wastes for eight cents a pound: now it turns them into flavors and fragrances worth more than $1 a pound, and has boosted its chemical sales to $100 million a year. As a by-product of processing at its Bellingham, Washington, plant, Georgia Pacific is producing 190-proof alcohol -- so "pure and potent" that the Treasury Department has stationed men in the plant full time to make sure none of it is converted to drinking liquor before sale to industrial users. Westvaco has found the conversion of mill wastes into other products so profitable that it has created a chemical subsidiary with four processing plants and a research center staffed by 80 scientists; in the last five years, Westvaco's chemical sales have doubled, to $45 million - all from materials that the company used to dump.

Similarly, Dow Corning found that a $2.7 million capital investment in equipment to recover chlorine and hydrogen previously lost to the atmosphere in making silicon reduced operating costs by $900,000 a year -- a 33 percent annual return on investment. Hercules Powder spent $750,000 to reduce solids discharged into the Mississippi -- and is now

saving $250,000 a year in material and water costs. Process improvements in a Goldkist poultry plant have cut water use by 32 percent, reduced wastes by 66 percent, and produced a net annual saving of $2.33 for every dollar expended. Philip Hanes, chairman of Hanes Dye and Finishing, has testified that "cleaning up our stacks and neutralizing our liquids was expensive, but in the balance we have actually made money on our pollution control efforts. EPA has helped our bottom line."

Such savings are bound to expand as recycling technology -- pioneered by your industry -- comes into its own. In last Sunday's New York Times, science writer Boyce Rensberger pointed out that every ton of steel produced from recycled municipal waste, instead of virgin ore:

-- Saves enough electricity to power the average American home for eight months;

-- Eliminates the production of 200 pounds of air pollutants normally produced in making steel from ore;

-- Reduces consumption of fresh water by 6,700 gallons

-- Reduces discharges of pollutants into streams and sewers by 102 pounds; and

-- Eliminates the 2.7 tons of mining wastes that are usually heaped around the landscape for every ton of steel ultimately produced.

"Ordinarily," Mr. Rensberger points out, "it can cost a city from $1 or $2 a ton to $10 or $12 a ton to dispose of wastes. A modern materials and energy recovery system, operated privately at a 15 percent profit margin, brings the cost down to $3.45 a ton, making recycling economically attractive for most urban areas, which are paying more than that, or soon will be. Economists forecast that the rising costs of energy and of virgin material will gradually make solid waste so valuable that cities may some day be selling their garbage to private resource-recovery systems."

Unfortunately for our hard-pressed cities, that day has not yet arrived. But the success of an experimental recycling plant in St. Louis indicates that the notion of selling garbage is no improbable fantasy. Since 1972, when a 325-ton-a-day plant went into operation, the city has been recovering $1 worth of ferrous material and $2 to $3 worth of energy from each ton of garbage. These figures so impressed Union Electric Company, contractor for the experimental plant, that Union is

now building a $70 million, 8,000-ton recovery plant at its own expense. "We're going into this strictly as a private business," a company spokesman said, "because we think we can make money."

These and many other examples prove that environmental regulation -- unwelcome as it undoubtedly was -- has stimulated profitable innovations and new technology that would not otherwise have been developed. The catalytic converter, invented to reduce air pollution by automobiles, is a good example of the response of the free enterprise system to a need of the market. This device is already having a major impact in reducing auto pollution and, at the same time, increasing auto mileage per gallon of fuel. Full-page ads by General Motors attest to the major advances contributed by the catalytic converter. Changes in catalyst and in design promise to make the device appreciably more effective.

The cost of these abatement devices as installed and delivered to the auto purchaser totalled $838 million last year, and will double this year, to $1.7 billion. It is projected to grow to $4 billion by 1979 (in 1974 dollars). Catalyst sales for this product will reach $350 million in 1975, and $900 million by 1979.

Because the U.S. has made the most extensive advances in developing pollution-control equipment, it also has a competitive advantage in supplying such equipment to other countries. I understand that catalytic converters are being exported in rapidly growing numbers, and the Department of Commerce is about to release a study which projects a multi-billion dollar export market for pollution control devices within a few years.

In view of the economic benefits that have already accrued from environmental improvement, it is difficult for me to understand the continuing resistance to such investment as "non-productive." However, economics is not my field -- as some members of my staff delight in reminding me. But an outside economist -- Dr. James Quinn, Professor of Management at Dartmouth College -- echoes my puzzlement. "Whenever a new need is recognized

and satisfied," he wrote in the MIT Technology Review last year, "a new market is created, and economic opportunities expand. This truism," he continued, "is accepted by economists and businessmen in all parts of the marketplace but one: environmental improvement. Instead environmentalists, economists, and national leaders reiterate, tirelessly and almost hypnotically, that the country must choose either economic growth or a better living environment."

Part of the reason for the resistance to environmental investment, undoubtedly, is that it is imposed by governmental regulation. I don't like governmental compulsion any more than anyone else -- but there is absolutely no alternative to such compulsion if we are to protect our environment. Clearly, we cannot make such protection voluntary; that would simply impose costs on conscientious, ethical companies, while giving a price advantage to unscrupulous competitors. And because air and water pollution don't halt at the city limits or the state line, the Federal government must take the most prominent and conspicuous role in setting standards.

Another cause of the resistance to environmental investment is probably the answer to the question, "Whose ox is being gored?" I have argued here that environmental investment can create jobs, stimulate productivity, and yield profits. In most cases, of course, those jobs and profits will accrue to the corporation selling or installing pollution-abatement devices -- not to the one buying them. I can understand the resentment of companies forced to make such investments in tough times; but that natural resentment should not be allowed to obscure the fact that their products and processes have in the past created costs which the public has had to bear. Economists have told us for years that "There is no free lunch." To this doctrine, environmental regulations have added a new one: There is no free pollution, either.

But the most important reason for resisting environmental clean-up expenditures, I think, is the normal human difficulty of recognizing -- and adjusting to -- rapid, major social change. The traditional rules by which the nation's business has been conducted are being drastically altered by our discovery that the earth has its own laws of supply and demand. We have in the past treated air and water as free goods -- goods that are infinite in amount, and inexhaustible in quality. And, in the past, this seemed true: the earth's own cleaning-up processes handled all the pollution man could produce, and recycled our air and water free of charge.

Today, however, man's rapidly expanding population, the incredible complexity of his technology, and the massive scale of his tools have caught up with the earth's resilience. Modern industrial life, coupled with the four billions of us who are competing for natural resources, now threaten man's life-support system. We must hope that the earth can support the eight billion human beings who will be here by the year 2010 -- but it certainly could not if past industrial trends had been allowed to continue.

Does this mean that economic growth must come to a halt?

No. To quote again from Dr. Quinn, what we need is a redefinition of traditional economic growth goals, not an abandonment of them. "Realistically," he writes, "such goals must reflect people's continuing desire to be 'better off' in each succeeding year. But being better off need not, and even now does not, include just the goods and services individuals purchase. It also embraces health, education, recreation, safety, security, and even aesthetics, purchased jointly or publicly. If economic growth is defined -- as ideally it should be -- to include the per capita increase in such values, policy makers will have many realistic options to provide continued improvements in life quality along with continued expansions in job, investment, and profit opportunities."

We are, in sum, facing the same old questions of economics that we have always tried to answer: how do we use limited resources to produce various commodities and distribute them in society? But environmental degradation forces us to give some new answers to these old questions. Our concept of economic growth must now be guided by a sense of the fragility of our earth and a recognition that the list of limited resources now includes our air, our water, and the natural resources we have spent so wastefully.

Though the quality of our environment concerns all of us, it is -- in a special sense -- the responsibility of your industry. The existence of your companies underlines the homely truth that laws cannot create clean air and clean water, recycle our wastes or dispose of them safely. Your progress in a relatively short time proves that free enterprise in partnership with government can safeguard our environment. The costs of your work, as far as I am concerned, are among the most productive investments the United States can make.

FROM 12-01-75
TO 12-31-75

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
		DRAFT	Manufacture of Floating Nuclear Power Plants	51756	12-03-75	NRC
		DRAFT	1976 Gypsy Moth Suppression & Regulatory Program	51765	12-04-75	USDA
		DRAFT	Motor Carrier Reform Act	51768	12-08-75	DOT
		DRAFT	Cargo Transport, U.S.Gulf/Atlantic to Far East	51774	12-08-75	FMC
		DRAFT	Liquid Metal Fast Breeder Reactor Program	51881	12-31-75	ERDA
		FINAL	OCS Lease Sale, Gulf of Mexico	51809	12-12-75	DOI
		FINAL	Southern Natural Pipeline System Curtailment	51833	12-18-75	FPC
		FINAL	Modular Integrated Utility Systems	51847	12-22-75	HUD
		FINAL	LORAN-C, Gulf of Alaska	51865	12-29-75	DOT
Alabama	Coosa	DRAFT	Ray Community Public Water System Extension	51879	12-29-75	HUD
	Cullman	DRAFT	Mud Creek Watershed	51772	12-08-75	USDA
Alaska		DRAFT	Long Island Unit Management Plan	51731	12-01-75	USDA
		DRAFT	Alaska Natural Gas Transportation Systems	51755	12-03-75	FPC
		FINAL	Basket Bay #2 Timber Sale, Tongass N.F.	51826	12-17-75	USDA
Arizona	Coconino	FINAL	Proposed Master Plan, Grand Canyon Complex	51728	12-01-75	DOI
	Mohave	FINAL	Proposed Master Plan, Grand Canyon Complex	51728	12-01-75	DOI
Arkansas	several	DRAFT	Cadron Creek Watersheds	51769	12-08-75	USDA
	Benton	DRAFT	Flint Creek Power Plant - 550 MW	51860	12-23-75	USDA
California		DRAFT	Charles Wilson Regional Park, Torrence, Cal.	51805	12-10-75	HUD
		DRAFT	Livermore-Amador Valley Wastewater Management	51754	12-03-75	EPA
		DRAFT	Water Quality Program(Phase I), San Francisco Bay	51807	12-12-75	EPA
		FINAL	San Francisco Bay Area Maintenance Dredging	51859	12-23-75	COE
	several	FINAL	Timber Management Plan, Plumas N.F.	51732	12-01-75	USDA
	Alameda	DRAFT	Low-Income Multi-Service Center, Livermore	51791	12-09-75	HUD
	Imperial	DRAFT	Water & Sewer Project, Brawley Co. Water District	51778	12-08-75	HUD
	Los Angeles	DRAFT	Paramount Boulevard, Beverly Blvd. to Olympic Blvd	51759	12-04-75	DOT
	Madera	FINAL	Helms Project, California	51804	12-10-75	FPC
	Monterey	FINAL	Master Plan, Pinnacles National Monument	51752	12-03-75	DOI
	Napa	FINAL	Napa River Flood Control Project	51815	12-12-75	COE
	Orange	DRAFT	Woodbridge Village, Orange County	51873	12-30-75	HUD
	San Mateo	DRAFT	Redwood Shores Regional Shopping Center, Permit	51758	12-03-75	COE
		FINAL	Foster City Development, Permit	51813	12-12-75	COE

FROM 12-01-75
TO 12-31-75

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
Colorado		DRAFT	Colony Development, Oil Shale Resources	51820	12-15-75	DOI
	several	DRAFT	Dolores National Wild and Scenic River	51823	12-16-75	DOI
	Bent	DRAFT	John Martin Dam and Reservoir, Arkansas River	51784	12-09-75	COE
	Eagle	FINAL	I-70, Dostsero-West (I 70-2(11))	51801	12-10-75	DOT
	Garfield	FINAL	I-70, Dostsero-West (I 70-2(11))	51801	12-10-75	DOT
	Grand	DRAFT	Blacktail Land Use Plan, Routt N.F.	51862	12-29-75	USDA
	Gunnison	DRAFT	East R. & Upper Crystal R. Land Use Plan	51839	12-19-75	USDA
	Jefferson	FINAL	Hutchinson's Green Mt. Village Development	51835	12-18-75	HUD
	Moffat	DRAFT	Mt. Welba Unit Land Use Plan, Routt N.F.	51840	12-19-75	USDA
	Morgan	DRAFT	Narrows Unit, Pick-Sloan Missouri Basin Program	51788	12-09-75	DOI
	Routt	DRAFT	Blacktail Land Use Plan, Routt N.F.	51862	12-29-75	USDA
	Summit	DRAFT	Snake River Basin Land Use Plan	51863	12-29-75	USDA
Delaware		DRAFT	OCS Sale # 40, Mid-Atlantic States	51792	12-10-75	DOI
		DRAFT	Upper Choptank River Watershed	51855	12-23-75	USDA
Florida		FINAL	Lincoln Experimental Statellites 8 and 9 Program	51872	12-30-75	USAF
	Bay	DRAFT	Panama City Harbor and Grand Lagoon, Maintenance	51785	12-09-75	COE
	Brevard	FINAL	S.R. 500, Melbourne, Florida	51775	12-08-75	DOT
	Dade	FINAL	U.S. Navy Aqueduct, Florida Keys	51814	12-12-75	HEW
	Duval	DRAFT	Arlington-East District Wastewater Facilities	51825	12-17-75	EPA
	Monroe	FINAL	U.S. Navy Aqueduct, Florida Keys	51814	12-12-75	HEW
Georgia	Bartow	FINAL	Allatoona Dam and Lake	51797	12-10-75	COE
	Cherokee	FINAL	Allatoona Dam and Lake	51797	12-10-75	COE
	Cobb	FINAL	Allatoona Dam and Lake	51797	12-10-75	COE
	Talladega	DRAFT	Federal Youth Center, Talladega	51761	12-04-75	GSA
Hawaii		FINAL	Hawaii Maintenance Dredging Activities	51853	12-23-75	COE
	Oahu	DRAFT	Waianae Light-Draft Harbor Construction	51870	12-29-75	COE
Idaho	Blaine	FINAL	Bigwood Ski Area, Sawtooth N.F.	51730	12-01-75	USDA
	Boise	DRAFT	Bogus Basin Ski Area Expansion	51849	12-22-75	USDA
	Canyon	DRAFT	U.S. 30, Caldwell-Nampa Boulevard	51846	12-22-75	DOT
	Cassia	DRAFT	W. Burley Service Sta., Location (Supplement)	51828	12-17-75	DOI
	Clearwater	FINAL	Dworshak Dam and Reservoir	51798	12-10-75	COE
	Idaho	DRAFT	Mill Creek Planning Unit, Nezperce N.F.	51751	12-02-75	USDA
	Lemhi	DRAFT	Beartrap - Dutchler Planning Unit, Salmon N.F.	51868	12-29-75	USDA

FROM 12-01-75
TO 12-31-75

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
Illinois		FINAL	Nine-Foot Channel, Illinois Waterway	51743	12-01-75	COE
	Peoria	FINAL	I-474, Peoria and Tazewell	51816	12-12-75	DOT
	Tazewell	FINAL	I-474, Peoria and Tazewell	51816	12-12-75	DOT
Indiana		DRAFT	Indiana Shoreline Erosion, Lake Michigan	51727	12-01-75	COE
	Allen	DRAFT	St. Joe Rd.: Evard Rd. to Mayhew Rd. (Supplement)	51880	12-29-75	DOT
	Marion	FINAL	Eagle Valley Farms, Mortgage Insurance	51842	12-19-75	HUD
	Vigo	FINAL	Prairie Creek (Vigo) Watershed	51750	12-02-75	USDA
Iowa	Allamakee	DRAFT	Iowa 9, Waukon to Lansing	51760	12-04-75	DOT
	Hamilton	FINAL	Freeway 520, Webster and Hamilton Cos., Iowa	51875	12-31-75	DOT
	Johnson	DRAFT	Freeway 518 and Iowa 92 Relocation	51764	12-04-75	DOT
	Washington	DRAFT	Freeway 518 and Iowa 92 Relocation	51764	12-04-75	DOT
	Webster	FINAL	Freeway 520, Webster and Hamilton Cos., Iowa	51875	12-31-75	DOT
Kansas	several	DRAFT	Middle Walnut Watershed Project	51811	12-12-75	USDA
	Brown	DRAFT	US-36 Improvement, Kansas-Mo. State Line West	51810	12-12-75	DOT
	Doniphan	DRAFT	US-36 Improvement, Kansas-Mo. State Line West	51810	12-12-75	DOT
	Wyandotte	DRAFT	I-70 Widening, Kansas City	51803	12-10-75	DOT
Louisiana	Grant	DRAFT	North Catahoula-South Winn Unit, Kisatchie N.F.	51738	12-01-75	USDA
	Iberville	DRAFT	Choctaw Bayou Watershed	51773	12-08-75	USDA
	Point Coupee	DRAFT	Choctaw Bayou Watershed	51773	12-08-75	USDA
	Pointe Coupee	DRAFT	Bayou Grosse Tete Watershed Project	51741	12-01-75	USDA
	West Baton Rou	DRAFT	Choctaw Bayou Watershed	51773	12-08-75	USDA
	Winn	DRAFT	North Catahoula-South Winn Unit, Kisatchie N.F.	51738	12-01-75	USDA
Maryland		DRAFT	Upper Choptank River Watershed	51855	12-23-75	USDA
	Baltimore	FINAL	Inner Harbor West Urban Renewal, Baltimore	51786	12-09-75	HUD
Michigan		DRAFT	Great Lakes-St. Lawrence Seaway, Winter Program	51790	12-09-75	COE
	Chippewa	DRAFT	Recreational Boat Harbor, DeTour	51843	12-22-75	COE
	Lapeer	DRAFT	M-21 Relocation, From M-24 to Near Wadhams	51818	12-15-75	DOT
	Manistee	FINAL	Manistee County - Blacker Airport	51830	12-17-75	DOT
	Marquette	FINAL	Marquette and Presque Isle Harbors, Maintenance	51795	12-10-75	COE
	St. Clair	DRAFT	M-21 Relocation, From M-24 to Near Wadhams	51818	12-15-75	DOT

FROM 12-01-75
TO 12-31-75

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
Minnesota		DRAFT	St. Croix National Scenic Riverway	51817	12-15-75	DOI
	Hennepin	DRAFT	Edenvale 11th Addition, Eden Prairie	51781	12-08-75	HUD
Mississippi	several	FINAL	Okatoma Creek Watershed, Mississippi	51836	12-18-75	USDA
Missouri	Carter	DRAFT	Route 60, Carter County	51854	12-23-75	DOT
Nebraska	Lancaster	DRAFT	West Cornhusker Highway, Lincoln	51770	12-08-75	DOT
	Saunders	DRAFT	SR 63, Ashland	51802	12-10-75	DOT
Nevada	several	DRAFT	Long Branch Watershed	51763	12-04-75	USDA
	Clark	FINAL	Red Rock Canyon Recreation Lands	51739	12-01-75	DOI
New Jersey		DRAFT	OCS Sale # 40, Mid-Atlantic States	51792	12-10-75	DOI
	Bergen	FINAL	Berry's Creek Embankment and Facilities, Permit	51808	12-12-75	COE
New Mexico	Chaves	FINAL	Cottonwood-Walnut Creek Watershed	51869	12-29-75	USDA
	Eddy	FINAL	Cottonwood-Walnut Creek Watershed	51869	12-29-75	USDA
	Rio Arriba	DRAFT	Abiquiu Dam and Reservoir, O&M	51800	12-10-75	COE
New York	Chautauqua	FINAL	Dunkirk Harbor Maintenance	51736	12-01-75	COE
	Livingston	FINAL	Mount Morris Flood Control Project	51857	12-23-75	COE
	Nassau	DRAFT	New York Tracon, Hempstead	51864	12-29-75	DOT
		FINAL	Transmission Cable Permit Application, Long Island	51766	12-05-75	COE
North Carolina		FINAL	Albemarle and Chesapeake, and Dismal Swamp Canals	51748	12-02-75	COE
	several	DRAFT	Atlantic IC Waterway Side Channels, Maintenance	51819	12-15-75	COE
	Alleghany	DRAFT	New National Wild and Scenic River	51734	12-01-75	DOI
	Ashe	DRAFT	New National Wild and Scenic River	51734	12-01-75	DOI
	Avery	FINAL	Buck Creek & N. Fork Catawba R. Units, Pisgah N.F.	51744	12-01-75	USDA
	Brunswick	DRAFT	Shallotte & Lockwoods Folly Rivers, Maintenance	51841	12-19-75	COE
	Burke	FINAL	Buck Creek & N. Fork Catawba R. Units, Pisgah N.F.	51744	12-01-75	USDA

FROM 12-01-75
TO 12-31-75

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
North Carolina	Chatham	DRAFT	B.Everett Jordan Dam and Lake (Supplement)	51874	12-31-75	COE
	Durham	DRAFT	Crabtree Creek Watershed	51776	12-08-75	USDA
	Guilford	FINAL	US 220, I-85 to I-40 (Supplement)	51780	12-08-75	DOT
	McDowell	FINAL	Buck Creek & N. Fork Catawba R. Units, Pisgah N.F.	51744	12-01-75	USDA
	Wake	DRAFT	Crabtree Creek Watershed	51776	12-08-75	USDA
Ohio	Erie	FINAL	Huron Harbor, Operations and Maintenance	51796	12-10-75	COE
Oklahoma	Cowley	FINAL	Kaw Lake, Arkansas River	51747	12-02-75	COE
	Kay	FINAL	Kaw Lake, Arkansas River	51747	12-02-75	COE
	McCurtain	DRAFT	McKinney - Buzzard Creek Watershed Project	51871	12-29-75	USDA
	Osage	FINAL	Kaw Lake, Arkansas River	51747	12-02-75	COE
Oregon	Clatsop	FINAL	Skipanon Bridge, S.H. 105, Warrenton	51782	12-08-75	DOT
	Coos	FINAL	Coos Bay (Supplement)	51837	12-18-75	COE
	Curry	DRAFT	Chetco River Jetty Extension	51733	12-01-75	COE
Pennsylvania	Bucks	DRAFT	Neshaminy Creek Watershed	51845	12-22-75	USDA
	Luzerne	FINAL	L.R. 1052, Cross Valley Expressway	51757	12-03-75	DOT
	Montgomery	DRAFT	Neshaminy Creek Watershed	51845	12-22-75	USDA
	Philadelphia	FINAL	Washington Square West Urban Renewal, Philadelphia	51740	12-01-75	HUD
Puerto Rico		DRAFT	Estancias de Rio Hondo Development, San Juan	51742	12-01-75	HUD
South Carolina		DRAFT	VA Hospital, Columbia (Supplement)	51745	12-02-75	VA
	Berkeley	DRAFT	Cooper River Dredging Permit, Amoco Chemicals	51749	12-02-75	COE
Tennessee	Hamilton	FINAL	State Route 58, Hamilton County, Tennessee	51729	12-01-75	DOT
		FINAL	Cromwell Road Low-Rent Housing, Chattanooga	51806	12-11-75	HUD
	Knox	DRAFT	Volunteer, Tennessee 500-kV Substation	51852	12-23-75	TVA
	Loudon	FINAL	State Route 95	51737	12-01-75	DOT
	Marshall	FINAL	Water Line Extension, Marshall County	51779	12-08-75	HUD
	Sequatchie	FINAL	SR 111, Appalachian Corridor "J"	51851	12-22-75	DOT
	Van Buren	FINAL	SR 111, Appalachian Corridor "J"	51851	12-22-75	DOT

FROM 12-01-75
TO 12-31-75

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
Texas		FINAL	NW Texarkana Sewer Mains	51812	12-12-75	HUD
		FINAL	Vessel Traffic System, Houston-Galveston Area	51821	12-15-75	DOT
	several	DRAFT	Town Bluff Dam and Sam Rayburn Dam	51877	12-31-75	COE
	Brazoria	FINAL	Freeport Hurricane Flood Protection	51834	12-18-75	COE
	Chambers	FINAL	Houston Ship Channel, Dredging (2)	51856	12-23-75	COE
	Collin	FINAL	Park Forest North, Plano, Texas	51753	12-03-75	HUD
	Dallas	FINAL	Drug Enforcement Administration Lease Facility	51746	12-02-75	GSA
	Delta	FINAL	Texas SH 19: FM 1507 to Junction SH 19 and SH 24	51867	12-29-75	DOT
	Galveston	FINAL	Houston Ship Channel, Dredging (2)	51856	12-23-75	COE
	Harris	DRAFT	US 90, I-10 to SH 146	51866	12-29-75	DOT
		FINAL	Houston Ship Channel, Dredging (2)	51856	12-23-75	COE
	Jefferson	FINAL	Park Central Development, Port Arthur	51878	12-31-75	HUD
	Lamar	FINAL	Texas SH 19: FM 1507 to Junction SH 19 and SH 24	51867	12-29-75	DOT
	Liberty	DRAFT	US 90, I-10 to SH 146	51866	12-29-75	DOT
	Live Oak	FINAL	Nueces River Project, Texas	51822	12-16-75	DOI
	McMullen	FINAL	Nueces River Project, Texas	51822	12-16-75	DOI
	Palo Pinto	FINAL	Pollard Creek Watershed Project	51762	12-04-75	USDA
	Tarrant	DRAFT	Fort Worth Federal Center, Proposed Alteration	51876	12-31-75	GSA
Utah		DRAFT	Colony Development, Oil Shale Resources	51820	12-15-75	DOI
		FINAL	Completion of Lyman Project, Wyoming	51787	12-09-75	DOI
	Uintah	FINAL	Central Utah Project, Jensen Unit	51824	12-16-75	DOI
Virgin Islands		DRAFT	Offshore Terminal & Submarine Pipeline, Permit	51827	12-17-75	COE
Virginia		FINAL	Albemarle and Chesapeake, and Dismal Swamp Canals	51748	12-02-75	COE
	several	DRAFT	Downtown-West Redevelopment Project, Norfolk	51831	12-17-75	HUD
	Accomack	FINAL	Wishart Point, Maintenance Dredging	51794	12-10-75	COE
	Prince Edward	DRAFT	Bush River Watershed Project	51771	12-08-75	USDA
Washington	Benton	FINAL	Waste Management, Hanford Reservation	51848	12-22-75	ERDA
	King	DRAFT	SR-90, Junction SR-5 to Vicinity Junction SR-405	51838	12-18-75	DOT
	Lincoln	DRAFT	Goose Creek Watershed Project	51844	12-22-75	USDA
	Pierce	FINAL	Tacoma Harbor Project, Washington	51793	12-10-75	COE
	Snohomish	FINAL	Washington Forest Highway 7, Snohomish Co.	51783	12-08-75	DOT
	Walla Walla	FINAL	Mill Creek flood control, Walla Walla, Wash.	51735	12-01-75	COE
	Whatcom	FINAL	Squalicum Small Boat Basin	51829	12-17-75	COE

FROM 12-01-75
TO 12-31-75

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
West Virginia	Raleigh	FINAL	I-64, Appalachian Corridor "L"	51777	12-08-75	DOT
Wisconsin		DRAFT	St. Croix National Scenic Riverway	51817	12-15-75	DOI
	several	DRAFT	Fox R., Wisconsin Navigation Project - O&M	51850	12-22-75	COE
Wyoming		FINAL	Completion of Lyman Project, Wyoming	51787	12-09-75	DOI
	Campbell	DRAFT	Cordero Mine, Mining and Reclamation Plan	51861	12-23-75	DOI
	Carbon	DRAFT	Ryan Park Winter Sports Site, Medicine Bow NF	51858	12-23-75	USDA

FROM 12-01-75
TO 12-31-75

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY AGENCY, BUREAU, & STATUS

AGENCY / BUREAU	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE				
Animal and Plant Health Inspec. Service				
	DRAFT	1976 Gypsy Moth Suppression & Regulatory Program	51765	12-04-75
Forest Service				
	DRAFT	Long Island Unit Management Plan	51731	12-01-75
		North Catahoula-South Winn Unit, Kisatchie N.F.	51738	12-01-75
		Mill Creek Planning Unit, Nezperce N.F.	51751	12-02-75
		East R. & Upper Crystal R. Land Use Plan	51839	12-19-75
		Mt. Welba Unit Land Use Plan, Routt N.F.	51840	12-19-75
		Bogus Basin Ski Area Expansion	51849	12-22-75
		Ryan Park Winter Sports Site, Medicine Bow NF	51858	12-23-75
		Blacktail Land Use Plan, Routt N.F.	51862	12-29-75
		Snake River Basin Land Use Plan	51863	12-29-75
		Beartrap - Dutchler Planning Unit, Salmon N.F.	51868	12-29-75
	FINAL	Bigwood Ski Area, Sawtooth N.F.	51730	12-01-75
		Timber Management Plan, Plumas N.F.	51732	12-01-75
		Buck Creek & N. Fork Catawba R. Units, Pisgah N.F.	51744	12-01-75
		Basket Bay #2 Timber Sale, Tongass N.F.	51826	12-17-75
Rural Electrification Administration				
	DRAFT	Flint Creek Power Plant - 550 MW	51860	12-23-75
Soil Conservation Service				
	DRAFT	Bayou Grosse Tete Watershed Project	51741	12-01-75
		Long Branch Watershed	51763	12-04-75
		Cadron Creek Watersheds	51769	12-08-75
		Bush River Watershed Project	51771	12-08-75
		Mud Creek Watershed	51772	12-08-75
		Choctaw Bayou Watershed	51773	12-08-75
		Crabtree Creek Watershed	51776	12-08-75
		Middle Walnut Watershed Project	51811	12-12-75
		Goose Creek Watershed Project	51844	12-22-75
		Neshaminy Creek Watershed	51845	12-22-75
		Upper Choptank River Watershed	51855	12-23-75
		McKinney - Buzzard Creek Watershed Project	51871	12-29-75
	FINAL	Prairie Creek (Vigo) Watershed	51750	12-02-75
		Pollard Creek Watershed Project	51762	12-04-75
		Okatoma Creek Watershed, Mississippi	51836	12-18-75
		Cottonwood-Walnut Creek Watershed	51869	12-29-75
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Air Force				
	FINAL	Lincoln Experimental Statellites 8 and 9 Program	51872	12-30-75

FROM 12-01-75
TO 12-31-75

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY AGENCY, BUREAU, & STATUS

AGENCY / BUREAU	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Army Corps				
	DRAFT	Indiana Shoreline Erosion, Lake Michigan	51727	12-01-75
		Chetco River Jetty Extension	51733	12-01-75
		Cooper River Dredging Permit, Amoco Chemicals	51749	12-02-75
		Redwood Shores Regional Shopping Center, Permit	51758	12-03-75
		John Martin Dam and Reservoir, Arkansas River	51784	12-09-75
		Panama City Harbor and Grand Lagoon, Maintenance	51785	12-09-75
		Great Lakes-St. Lawrence Seaway, Winter Program	51790	12-09-75
		Abiquiu Dam and Reservoir, O&M	51800	12-10-75
		Atlantic IC Waterway Side Channels, Maintenance	51819	12-15-75
		Offshore Terminal & Submarine Pipeline, Permit	51827	12-17-75
		Shallotte & Lockwoods Folly Rivers, Maintenance	51841	12-19-75
		Recreational Boat Harbor, DeTour	51843	12-22-75
		Fox R., Wisconsin Navigation Project - O&M	51850	12-22-75
		Waianae Light-Draft Harbor Construction	51870	12-29-75
		B.Everett Jordan Dam and Lake (Supplement)	51874	12-31-75
		Town Bluff Dam and Sam Rayburn Dam	51877	12-31-75
	FINAL	Mill Creek flood control, Walla Walla, Wash.	51735	12-01-75
		Dunkirk Harbor Maintenance	51736	12-01-75
		Nine-Foot Channel, Illinois Waterway	51743	12-01-75
		Kaw Lake, Arkansas River	51747	12-02-75
		Albemarle and Chesapeake, and Dismal Swamp Canals	51748	12-02-75
		Transmission Cable Permit Application, Long Island	51766	12-05-75
		Tacoma Harbor Project, Washington	51793	12-10-75
		Wishart Point, Maintenance Dredging	51794	12-10-75
		Marquette and Presque Isle Harbors, Maintenance	51795	12-10-75
		Huron Harbor, Operations and Maintenance	51796	12-10-75
		Allatoona Dam and Lake	51797	12-10-75
		Dworshak Dam and Reservoir	51798	12-10-75
		Berry's Creek Embankment and Facilities, Permit	51808	12-12-75
		Foster City Development, Permit	51813	12-12-75
		Napa River Flood Control Project	51815	12-12-75
		Squalicum Small Boat Basin	51829	12-17-75
		Freeport Hurricane Flood Protection	51834	12-18-75
		Coos Bay (Supplement)	51837	12-18-75
		Hawaii Maintenance Dredging Activities	51853	12-23-75
		Houston Ship Channel, Dredging (2)	51856	12-23-75
		Mount Morris Flood Control Project	51857	12-23-75
		San Francisco Bay Area Maintenance Dredging	51859	12-23-75
DEPARTMENT OF HEW				
	FINAL	U.S. Navy Aqueduct, Florida Keys	51814	12-12-75

FROM 12-01-75
TO 12-31-75

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY AGENCY, BUREAU, & STATUS

AGENCY / BUREAU	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED
DEPARTMENT OF HUD		Charles Wilson Regional Park, Torrence, Cal.	51805	12-10-75
	DRAFT	Estancias de Rio Hondo Development, San Juan	51742	12-01-75
		Woodbridge Village, Orange County	51873	12-30-75
		Ray Community Public Water System Extension	51879	12-29-75
	FINAL	Park Forest North, Plano, Texas	51753	12-03-75
		Inner Harbor West Urban Renewal, Baltimore	51786	12-09-75
		Cromwell Road Low-Rent Housing, Chattanooga	51806	12-11-75
		Hutchinson's Green Mt. Village Development	51835	12-18-75
		Eagle Valley Farms, Mortgage Insurance	51842	12-19-75
		Modular Integrated Utility Systems	51847	12-22-75
		Park Central Development, Port Arthur	51878	12-31-75
Section 104(h)	DRAFT	Water & Sewer Project, Brawley Co. Water District	51778	12-08-75
		Edenvale 11th Addition, Eden Prairie	51781	12-08-75
		Low-Income Multi-Service Center, Livermore	51791	12-09-75
		Downtown-West Redevelopment Project, Norfolk	51831	12-17-75
	FINAL	Washington Square West Urban Renewal, Philadelphia	51740	12-01-75
		Water Line Extension, Marshall County	51779	12-08-75
		NW Texarkana Sewer Mains	51812	12-12-75
DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR				
Bonneville Power Administration	DRAFT	W. Burley Service Sta., Location (Supplement)	51828	12-17-75
Bureau of Land Management	DRAFT	OCS Sale # 40, Mid-Atlantic States	51792	12-10-75
		Colony Development, Oil Shale Resources	51820	12-15-75
	FINAL	Red Rock Canyon Recreation Lands	51739	12-01-75
		OCS Lease Sale, Gulf of Mexico	51809	12-42-75
Bureau of Outdoor Recreation	DRAFT	New National Wild and Scenic River	51734	12-01-75
		Dolores National Wild and Scenic River	51823	12-16-75
Bureau of Reclamation	DRAFT	Narrows Unit, Pick-Sloan Missouri Basin Program	51788	12-09-75
	FINAL	Completion of Lyman Project, Wyoming	51787	12-09-75
		Nueces River Project, Texas	51822	12-16-75
		Central Utah Project, Jensen Unit	51824	12-16-75
Geological Survey	DRAFT	Cordero Mine, Mining and Reclamation Plan	51861	12-23-75
National Park Service	DRAFT	St. Croix National Scenic Riverway	51817	12-15-75
	FINAL	Proposed Master Plan, Grand Canyon Complex	51728	12-01-75
		Master Plan, Pinnacles National Monument	51752	12-03-75

FROM 12-01-75
TO 12-31-75

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY AGENCY, BUREAU, & STATUS

AGENCY / BUREAU	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION				
	DRAFT	Motor Carrier Reform Act	51768	12-08-75
Federal Aviation Administration				
	DRAFT	New York Tracon, Hempstead	51864	12-29-75
	FINAL	Manistee County - Blacker Airport	51830	12-17-75
Federal Highway Administration				
	DRAFT	Paramount Boulevard, Beverly Blvd. to Olympic Blvd	51759	12-04-75
		Iowa 9, Waukon to Lansing	51760	12-04-75
		Freeway 518 and Iowa 92 Relocation	51764	12-04-75
		West Cornhusker Highway, Lincoln	51770	12-08-75
		SH 63, Ashland	51802	12-10-75
		I-70 Widening, Kansas City	51803	12-10-75
		US-36 Improvement, Kansas-Mo. State Line West	51810	12-12-75
		M-21 Relocation, From M-24 to Near Wadhams	51818	12-15-75
		SR-90, Junction SR-5 to Vicinity Junction SR-405	51838	12-18-75
		U.S. 30, Caldwell-Nampa Boulevard	51846	12-22-75
		Route 60, Carter County	51854	12-23-75
		US 90, I-10 to SH 146	51866	12-29-75
		St. Joe Rd.: Evard Rd. to Mayhew Rd. (Supplement)	51880	12-29-75
	FINAL	State Route 58, Hamilton County, Tennessee	51729	12-01-75
		State Route 95	51737	12-01-75
		L.R. 1052, Cross Valley Expressway	51757	12-03-75
		S.R. 500, Melbourne, Florida	51775	12-08-75
		I-64, Appalachian Corridor "L"	51777	12-08-75
		US 220, I-85 to I-40 (Supplement)	51780	12-08-75
		Skipanon Bridge, S.H. 105, Warrenton	51782	12-08-75
		Washington Forest Highway 7, Snohomish Co.	51783	12-08-75
		I-70, Dostsero-West (I 70-2(11))	51801	12-10-75
		I-474, Peoria and Tazewell	51816	12-12-75
		SR 111, Appalachian Corridor "J"	51851	12-22-75
		Texas SH 19: FM 1507 to Junction SH 19 and SH 24	51867	12-29-75
		Freeway 520, Webster and Hamilton Cos., Iowa	51875	12-31-75
U.S.Coast Guard				
	FINAL	Vessel Traffic System, Houston-Galveston Area	51821	12-15-75
		LORAN-C, Gulf of Alaska	51865	12-29-75
ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ADMIN.				
	DRAFT	Liquid Metal Fast Breeder Reactor Program	51881	12-31-75
	FINAL	Waste Management, Hanford Reservation	51848	12-22-75
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY				
	DRAFT	Livermore-Amador Valley Wastewater Management	51754	12-03-75

FROM 12-01-75
TO 12-31-75

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY AGENCY, BUREAU, & STATUS

AGENCY / BUREAU	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY				
	DRAFT	Water Quality Program(Phase I), San Francisco Bay	51807	12-12-75
		Arlington-East District Wastewater Facilities	51825	12-17-75
FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION				
	DRAFT	Cargo Transport, U.S.Gulf/Atlantic to Far East	51774	12-08-75
FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION				
	DRAFT	Alaska Natural Gas Transportation Systems	51755	12-03-75
	FINAL	Helms Project, California	51804	12-10-75
		Southern Natural Pipeline System Curtailment	51833	12-18-75
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION				
	DRAFT	Federal Youth Center, Talladega	51761	12-04-75
		Fort Worth Federal Center, Proposed Alteration	51876	12-31-75
	FINAL	Drug Enforcement Administration Lease Facility	51746	12-02-75
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION				
	DRAFT	Manufacture of Floating Nuclear Power Plants	51756	12-03-75
TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY				
	DRAFT	Volunteer, Tennessee 500-kV Substation	51852	12-23-75
VETERANS ADMINISTRATION				
	DRAFT	VA Hospital, Columbia (Supplement)	51745	12-02-75

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Contact: Dr. Powden G. Maxwell
Coordinator of Environmental
Quality Activities
Office of the Secretary
U.S.Department of Agriculture
Room 359-A,
Washington, D.C. 20250
(202) 447-3965

Animal and Plant Health Inspec. Service

Draft — Date

1976 Gypsy Moth Suppression & Regulatory Program — 12/04

Proposed is the continuance of the Forest Service and Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service program, in cooperation with responsible state agencies, for the suppression and/or regulation of the gypsy moth, Porthetria dispar, through 1976. Carbaryl or trichlorfon will be used on about 100,000 acres.
(ELR ORDER # 51765)

Forest Service

Draft — Date

Long Island Unit Management Plan — 12/01

Alaska
The statement concerns the land use plan for the Long Island Unit, Tongass National Forest. The plan provides recreation areas, intensification of timber management, soil and water resource management, promotion of wildlife values, and the maintenance of fish habitat. Adverse impacts include the changing of wildlife habitat, and the addition of roads to the area.
(ELR ORDER # 51731)

East R. & Upper Crystal R. Land Use Plan — 12/19

Colorado
County: Gunnison
Proposed is the updating of existing Multiple Use Management Direction on National Forest land in the East River Unit of the Gunnison National Forest and the Upper Crystal River Unit of the White River National Forest in Gunnison County, Colorado. Possible adverse effects include the reduction of property values of some private land, and the eventual loss of attributes exclusive to wilderness in Non-selected Roadless Areas GO| GP| and GR.
(ELR ORDER # 51839)

Mt. Welba Unit Land Use Plan, Routt N.F. 12/19

Colorado
County: Moffat
Proposed is the implementation of a land use plan (multiple use plan) for the Mt. Welba Unit, Bears Ears Ranger District, Routt National Forest, Moffat County, Colorado. The Unit contains 13,440 acres of National Forest lands. Adverse effects include modification of scenic values, periodic and temporary changes in water and air quality and increased soil erosion.
(ELR ORDER # 51840)

Blacktail Land Use Plan, Routt N.F. 12/29

Colorado
County: Grand Routt
Proposed is the implementation of a revised Land Use Plan for the Blacktail Unit, Routt National Forest, Grand and Routt Counties. The Unit contains 23,475 acres of National Forest lands and includes Roadless Areas DL and DM. Adverse effects include modification of scenic values, periodic and temporary changes in water and air quality, and soil erosion due to the construction of roads and cutting of trees. Wilderness characteristics will be lost within Inventoried Roadless Areas DL and DM.
(ELR ORDER # 51862)

Snake River Basin Land Use Plan 12/29

Colorado
County: Summit
The statement consists of a proposal to update the existing Multiple Use Management Guide and intensify management actions for lands in the Snake River Basin, Arapaho National Forest, Summit County. The basin contains approximately 58,240 acres. Adverse effects include temporary disturbance of big game populations, reduction in the present level of air quality, and the reduction of land classified for timber production by 710 acres.
(ELR ORDER # 51863)

Mill Creek Planning Unit, Nezperce N.F. 12/02

Idaho
County: Idaho
The statement involves the implementation of a land use plan for the Mill Creek Planning Unit, Nezperce National Forest, Idaho. The 38,985 acre planning unit is divided into twenty management units for the purpose of resource allocation and direction. Activities involved in the plan

tend to modify natural conditions by altering present landscapes, disturbing wildlife, and altering wildlife habitat, reducing solitude, increasing soil movement, and affecting water quality and flow.
(ELR ORDER # 51751)

Bogus Basin Ski Area Expansion 12/22

Idaho
County: Boise
Proposed is the expansion of the Bogus Basin Ski Area on the Boise National Forest, Boise County, Idaho. The proposal calls for the addition of 1,370 acres upon which two double chairlifts, a warming lodge, and several ski runs will be developed. The major consideration involved is the allocation of the area to the long term commitment of a winter sports area.
(ELR ORDER # 51849)

Beartrap - Dutchler Planning Unit, Salmon N.F. 12/29

Idaho
County: Lemhi
Proposed is the implementation of a land use plan for the Beartrap - Dutchler Planning unit which contains approximately 169,000 acres in Lemhi County, Idaho. Adverse impacts include the alteration of wildlife habitat and the subsequent loss of species that are affected by habitat loss. Soil erosion also will occur in areas of timber harvest until revegetation and regeneration of timberstands is accomplished.
(ELR ORDER # 51868)

North Catahoula-South Winn Unit, Kisatchie N.F. 12/01

Louisiana
County: Grant Winn
The statement proposes a ten year management plan for the North Catahoula-South Winn Unit, Kisatchie National Forest, for approximately the period from 1976 to 1986. This unit contains 104,395 acres of National Forest land located in Grant and Winn Parishes, Louisiana. Major actions include harvesting of timber products, development of wildlife and range facilities, development of recreation facilities and reconstruction of roads. Adverse impacts will be caused by construction of roads and disturbances by off-road vehicles.
(ELR ORDER # 51738)

Ryan Park Winter Sports Site, Medicine Bow NF 12/23

Wyoming
County: Carbon
Proposed is the allocation of 280 acres of National

Forest land adjacent to Ryan Park, Wyoming for a winter sports site. The current allocation of 67 acres for a winter sports site at the nearby Ryan Park Ski Village would be terminated. Adverse effects include the loss of 10 acres of land from wood production, and an increase in the consumption of energy.
(ELR ORDER # 51858)

Final — Date

Basket Bay #2 Timber Sale, Tongass N.F. — 12/17

Alaska
The statement deals with the proposal to salvage approximately 5.5 million board feet of blowdown timber from the 1968 Thanksgiving Day storm within the Kook Lake watershed on Chichagof Island. No roads would be constructed or reconstructed and the timber would be clearcut utilizing a helicopter yarding system. The project would remove 186 acres from an undeveloped category, and would result in noise that could have an impact on recreation use of Kook Lake cabins.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOC HUD DOI EPA
state agencies and
one individual
(ELR ORDER # 51826)

Timber Management Plan, Plumas N.F. — 12/01

California
County: several
The statement proposes to carry out the revised Plumas National Forest Timber Management Plan which sets a total potential yield of 1,678.0 MMBF from the standard component commercial forest lands during the 10-year plan period. Adverse impacts include construction of roads for access to the harvest areas and degradation of appearance of the landscape.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOT FPC AHP USDA HEW EPA DOI
state and local agencies
organizations and individuals
(ELR ORDER # 51732)

Bigwood Ski Area, Sawtooth N.F. — 12/01

Idaho
County: Blaine
On September 6, 1974, the Sawtooth National Forest filed with CEQ a draft EIS proposing development of a winter sports complex in the Butterfield Creek area within the Sawtooth National Recreation Area, which would have been named the Bigwood Ski Area. The decision has been made, however, to implement Alternative A in the draft EIS which is to halt action on development, terminate the existing special use permit, and restore to natural

conditions areas where vegetation has been removed and/or disturbed.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI COE AHP
state and local agencies
organized groups and individuals
(ELR ORDER # 51730)

Buck Creek & N. Fork Catawba R. Units, Pisgah N.F. 12/01

North Carolina
County: Avery McDowell Burke
The statement concerns the 10-year management of the North Fork Catawba River Unit and the Buck Creek Unit, a total of 59,674 acres of Pisgah National Forest. Adverse impacts can result form timber harvesting, road construction and concentrated recreational use.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOT TVA EPA DOI
state and local agencies
and individuals
(ELR ORDER # 51744)

Rural Electrification Administration

Draft Date

Flint Creek Power Plant - 550 MW 12/23

Arkansas
County: Benton
The proposed project involves the request for guaranteed loan funds from REA for Arkansas Electric Cooperative Corporation's 50% participation in the construction of a new power plant in Benton County, Arkansas, to be known as the Flint Creek Power Plant. The proposed plant will have a gross generation capability of 550 MW and a net output of 530 MW. Approximately 650 acres of grazing land will be taken for construction purposes.
(ELR ORDER # 51860)

Soil Conservation Service

Draft Date

Mud Creek Watershed 12/08

Alabama
County: Cullman
The proposed plan is for watershed protection and flood prevention in Cullman County, Alabama. The project entails the application of land treatment measures on 2,350 acres of cropland, 3,720 acres of pastureland, and 4.7 miles of channel work. Adverse impacts include increased sedimentaiton and stream turbidity, and the loss of 69 acres of flood plain forest. Temporary increases in air and noise pollution would also result.
(ELR ORDER # 51772)

Cadron Creek Watersheds 12/08

Arkansas
County: several
The proposed project consists of watershed protection, flood prevention, and recreation for North Fork Cadron Creek, East Fork Cadron Creek, and Lower Cadron Creek Watersheds. Adverse impacts include the inundation of 1,163 acres by sediment pools and 3,922 acres by detention pools, and the relocation of 15 people. Project activities will decrease woodland habitat by 989 acres.
(ELR ORDER # 51769)

Upper Choptank River Watershed 12/23

Delaware Maryland
Proposed is the implementation of a watershed protection, flood prevention, and drainage project located in Queen Anne and Caroline Counties, Maryland, and Kent County, Delaware. The project will consist of land treatment measures on 45,636 acres and 280 miles of multiple-purpose channel work. Adverse effects include the reduction of wildlife habitat values by 10% and recreational hunting resources from good quality to average quality.
(ELR ORDER # 51855)

Middle Walnut Watershed Project 12/12

Kansas
County: several
Proposed is a project for watershed protection, flood prevention, and public recreation in Butler, Cowley, Sedgwick, and Sumner Counties, Kansas. Adverse impacts of the project include the elimination of agricultural use and terrestrial wildlife habitat use of 518 acres, the interruption of agricultural and terrestrial wildlife habitat use of 1,225 acres by periodic flooding, the elimination of 167 acres of agricultural land for dams and spillways, and the relocation of 15 persons.
(ELR ORDER # 51811)

Bayou Grosse Tete Watershed Project 12/01

Louisiana
County: Pointe Coupee
The proposed project is for watershed protection, flood prevention, and drainage in Pointe Coupee Parish, Louisiana. Approximately 115 miles of channel work with appurtenant structures that include one grade stabilization structure and structures for water control (pipe drops) will be installed. The clearing of 360 acres of forest land and existing channel rights-of-way reduce forest game habitat.
(ELR ORDER # 51741)

Choctaw Bayou Watershed 12/08

Louisiana
County: Iberville Point Coupee West Baton Rouge
The proposed plan consists of land treatment and structural measures on 110,000 acres of land to provide flood protection and improve drainage in the Choctaw Bayou over a 50-year period. Adverse impacts include increase in soil erosion and a temporary lowering of water quality. A potential loss of 1,200 acres of forest land and habitat could occur as a result of the project.
(ELR ORDER # 51773)

Long Branch Watershed 12/04

Nevada
County: several
The proposed Long Branch Watershed Project consists of land treatment measures, 12 floodwater retarding structures, 12 grade stabilization structures, and one multiple purpose floodwater retarding recreation structure with recreation facilities. Adverse effects include loss of agricultural production on 377 acres, inundation of 26 miles of stream channel, loss of 97 acres of woodland and 219 acres of existing wildlife habitat, and the relocation of one farm family.
(ELR ORDER # 51763)

Crabtree Creek Watershed 12/08

North Carolina
County: Durham Wake
The proposed action involves the completion of a watershed project in Wake and Durham Counties, North Carolina for flood prevention. Adverse effects include the loss of 817 acres of wildlife habitat and the permanent inundation of 16 miles of perennial and intermittent channels. The clearing and permanent inundation of 1.3 acres in Schenck Memorial Forest Natural Area will also result, as well as the destruction of Cool Mill Site.
(ELR ORDER # 51776)

McKinney - Buzzard Creek Watershed Project 12/29

Oklahoma
County: McCurtain
The proposed watershed project is located in McCurtain County, Oklahoma. The planned action involves the installation of land treatment measures; one floodwater retarding structure; and 9.20 miles of multiple-purpose channel work with appurtenant structures. Adverse impacts include loss of wildlife habitat as a result of timber clearing, and localized increase in erosion and turbidity due to construction.
(ELR ORDER # 51871)

Neshaminy Creek Watershed **12/22**

Pennsylvania
County: Bucks Montgomery
The proposed project is designed for watershed protection, flood prevention, water supply and recreation in Bucks and Montgomery Counties, Pennsylvania. Several project actions have been installed; those remaining include 5 floodwater retarding structures and a continuing program of land treatment. Adverse effects include the commitment of 425 acres and the periodic inundation of 813 acres. Three farms, 3 businesses, and 13 homes will be relocated.
(ELR ORDER # 51845)

Bush River Watershed Project **12/08**

Virginia
County: Prince Edward
Proposed is a project for watershed protection, flood prevention, water supply, and fish and wildlife development in Prince Edward County, Virginia. The project will consist of land treatment on 19,810 acres of the watershed and eight dams. Project implementation will result in inundation of 26 miles of streams and the inundation or restricted use of 3,076 acres of land presently in forest land, cropland, and pastureland. Terrestrial wildlife habitat will also be reduced.
(ELR ORDER # 51771)

Goose Creek Watershed Project **12/22**

Washington
County: Lincoln
The proposed action consists of a project for watershed protection, flood prevention, and recreation in Lincoln County, Washington. Project plans include conservation land treatment plus a multipurpose flood control and recreation structure, work on 750 feet of channel through the town of Wilbur, and flood control for the town of Creston. Land use will be altered on 465 acres, 10.5 acres of wetland will be eliminated, and displacement of 3 farmsteads and 7 persons will occur.
(ELR ORDER # 51844)

Final **Date**

Prairie Creek (Vigo) Watershed **12/02**

Indiana
County: Vigo
This project entails watershed protection, flood prevention and agricultural water management in Vigo County. Adverse

impacts are elimination of agricultural use of 20 acres of cropland, conversion of 17 acres of forest land habitat and 3 acres of idle land; temporary loss of 6 acres of forest land habitat and 2 acres of idle land; disturbance of 5.3 miles of stream fishery; disturbance of wildlife during periodic maintenance work; and temporary noise and air pollution during construction.
COMMENTS MADE BY: ORBC USA HEW DOI DOT EPA AHP
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51750)

Okatoma Creek Watershed, Mississippi 12/18

Mississippi
County: several
The statement refers to a watershed protection project on the Okatoma Watershed. Project measures include levee systems, floodwater retarding structures, recreational facilities, and 53.7 miles of channel work. Construction will result in the clearing of some forest land, and reduction in fishery and wildlife resources.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USA HEW DOI DOT EPA AHP
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51836)

Cottonwood-Walnut Creek Watershed 12/29

New Mexico
County: Chaves Eddy
The statement concerns the watershed protection, flood prevention, and recreational development in Chaves and and Eddy Counties, New Mexico. The project provides for land treatment on 140,000 acres of rangeland and 20,000 acres of irrigated cropland, 11 floodwater retarding structures, dams and reservoirs, spillways, floodwater diversions, channels, and recreation facilities, with 2,400 additional acres interrupted by flooding.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USA HEW DOI EPA DOT AHP
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51869)

Pollard Creek Watershed Project 12/04

Texas
County: Palo Pinto
Proposed is a project for watershed protection and flood prevention for 7,260 acres of Pollard Creek watershed. The plan includes the installation of two floodwater retarding structures requiring 185 acres of land. The project will result in the destruction of 41 acres of wildlife habitat and the alteration of 10 acres of habitat.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USA HEW DOI DOT EPA AHP
(ELR ORDER # 51762)

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Air Force

Contact: Dr. Billy Welch
Room 4D 873, The Pentagon
Washington, D.C. 20330
(202) OX 7 - 9297

Final — Date

Lincoln Experimental Statellites 8 and 9 Program — 12/30

Florida
The proposed action is the launch of the Lincoln Experimental Satellites 8 and 9 and solrad satellites 11A and 11B as a single payload by a Titan III-C from Launch Complex 40 at Cape Canaveral Air Force Station, Florida. The only adverse effects are a very small probability of small amounts of plutonium or beryllium being released and a very small probability of human fatality or injury from physical impact of accidently reentering payload hardware. Either of these effects could only result from a malfunction of the launch vehicle.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOT NASA DOC HEW DOI NRC ERDA EPA
one state agency
(ELR ORDER # 51872)

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Army Corps

Contact: Dr. C. Grant Ash
Office of Environmental Policy Development
Attn: DAEN-CWR-P
Office of the Chief of Engineers
U. S. Army Corps of Engineers
1000 Independence Avenue, S. W.
Washington, D. C. 20314
(202) 693-6795

Draft — Date

Redwood Shores Regional Shopping Center, Permit — 12/03

California
County: San Mateo
Mobil Oil Estates Limited, Redwood City, California, has applied for a Department of the Army permit to fill and do storm drainage improvements on the approximately 210-acre area and to improve the existing dike along Steinberger Slough in preparation for the eventual construction of a regional shopping center. Adverse effects include: change in land use from open space to urban area, loss of levee-impounded marshland, changes in air and water quality, change in noise levels, and water runoff alteration.
(San Francisco District)
(ELR ORDER # 51758)

John Martin Dam and Reservoir, Arkansas River — 12/09

Colorado
County: Bent
This statement concerns the identification of those impacts resulting from the continuing operation and maintenance program at John Martin Dam and Reservoir and the anticipated effects resulting from implementation of the updated John Martin Dam and Reservoir and the anticipated effects resulting from implementation of the updated John Martin Master Plan (November 1974). Adverse effects have been the development of sterile sediment beds, erosion of the shoreline and barren sediment beds, and some inundation of vegetation. (Albuquerque District)
(ELR ORDER # 51784)

Panama City Harbor and Grand Lagoon, Maintenance — 12/09

Florida
County: Bay
Proposed is the continued maintenance to the authorized depths of the Federal navigation channels into Panama City Harbor and Grand Lagoon, Florida. Maintenance dredging will result in a temporary increase of turbidity, and the loss of non-motile organisms inhabiting the channel and disposal areas. There will also be an increase in potential sources of pollution associated with the growth of channel

traffic and industrial development. (Mobile District)
(ELR ORDER # 51785)

Waianae Light-Draft Harbor Construction 12/29

Hawaii
County: Oahu
The proposed project involves the construction of a 12-acre harbor to accomodate berthing of approximately 300 recreational sailing and fishing boats and the launching of trailered boats on the Waianae coast of the Island of Oahu, State of Hawaii. Adverse effects include disturbance of wildlife habitat and the alteration of approximately 1,200 linear feet of shoreline limestone cliff habitat. Water quality within the harbor will be degraded by boating activities and human use.
(ELR ORDER # 51870)

Indiana Shoreline Erosion, Lake Michigan 12/01

Indiana
The recommended plan of improvement provides for mitigation of shoreline erosion within the Indiana Dunes National Lakeshore located along the south end of Lake Michigan. The plan consists of placing 1.7 million cubic yards of sand along the shore in the downdrift area affected adversely by harbor structures. There will be some loss of yellow perch due to changed lake bottom conditions and short-term decrease in nearshore water quality. (Chicago District)
(ELR ORDER # 51727)

Great Lakes-St. Lawrence Seaway, Winter Program 12/09

Michigan
Proposed is the continuation of certain operational activities of a Winter Navigation Program, terminating 31 December 1976. The action would allow short-term authorization of activities carried out over the past 5 years of the program to meet current needs of shipping until the Final Feasibility Report is completed, five years hence. Structural damage to privately owned dock and recreational facilities and inconvenience to island residents are likely to occur.
(ELR ORDER # 51790)

Recreational Boat Harbor, DeTour 12/22

Michigan
County: Chippewa
Proposed is the establishment of recreational and refuge harbor facilities for small craft at DeTour, Chippewa County, Michigan. Dredging and construction

operations associated with the project would temporarily degrade the aquatic environment. Permanent adverse effects include the removal of some benthic organisms and degradation in water quality due to increased boat activity. (Detroit District)
(ELR ORDER # 51843)

Abiquiu Dam and Reservoir, O&M 12/10

New Mexico
County: Rio Arriba
Proposed is the continued operation and maintenance of Abiquiu Dam and Reservoir for flood damage reduction and sediment retention. The project also includes the development of recreation facilites and zoning of project lands. Approximately 1,500 acres of interspersed grassland and juniper woodland would be converted to a standing aquatic system, and archeological sites may be inundated.
(Albuquerque District)
(ELR ORDER # 51800)

Atlantic IC Waterway Side Channels, Maintenance 12/15

North Carolina
County: several
The action involves the maintenance of nine authorized side channels to the Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway from Morehead City to Southport, North Carolina. Adverse effects on aquatic organisms will result from turbidity and the removal of benthic organisms. Terrestrial organisms will be buried in the upland diked disposal areas and benthic organisms covered in overboard disposal.
(Wilmington District)
(ELR ORDER # 51819)

Shallotte & Lockwoods Folly Rivers, Maintenance 12/19

North Carolina
County: Brunswick
The action involves maintenance activities in existing navigation projects in Shallotte and Lockwoods Folly Rivers, North Carolina. Maintenance consists of the removal of shoals in the two navigable water systems. Shoal removal will adversely affect aquatic life and terrestrial organisms will be buried in the upland diked disposal areas. (Wilmington District)
(ELR ORDER # 51841)

B.Everett Jordan Dam and Lake (Supplement) 12/31

North Carolina
County: Chatham
This statement is the draft supplement to a final EIS filed with CEQ 22 October 1971. In reference to the

B.Everett Jordon Dam and Lake, Cape Fear River Basin, North Carolina, the supplement includes a reassessment of water quality and observatiion of the effects of present dam operation on vegetation, birds, mosquitoes and other vectors, fish, mammals, and sedimentation. Also discussed are records of heights and duration of all floods impounded behind the dam. (Wilmington District)
(ELR ORDER # 51874)

Chetco River Jetty Extension 12/01

Oregon
County: Curry
Proposed is the construction of a 1,250 foot extension of the south jetty and construction of a 750 foot extension of the north jetty, Chetco River, Oregon. The project would also include deepening of the existing 14 foot channel and turning basin to 16 feet. Adverse impacts include: disruption and removal of about 4 acres of river bottom; smothering of aquatic organisms and habitat during disposal; and coverage of 7.9 acres of offshore sandy bottom with rock. (Portland District)
(ELR ORDER # 51733)

Cooper River Dredging Permit, Amoco Chemicals 12/02

South Carolina
County: Berkeley
Proposed is extensive dredging by the Amoco Chemicals Corporation of the Cooper River and adjacent waters for the purpose of constructing a chemical plant and associated facilities. Adverse impacts include: conversion of undeveloped land to an industrialzed state and the displacement of animal and plant communities; reduction of water quality and biological productivity; possibility of accidental spills which would adversely affect water quality and aquatic life; and disturbance to people living near railroad and roads in the project area. (Charleston District)
(ELR ORDER # 51749)

Town Bluff Dam and Sam Rayburn Dam 12/31

Texas
County: several
Proposed is an integrated operation and maintenance program at the Town Bluff Dam - B.A. Steinhagen Lake and the Sam Rayburn Dam and Reservoir. The program consists of flood control, generation of hydroelectric power, water conservation, fish and wildlife conservation and public recreational facilities development. Adverse effects include increased sanitation problems, altered water quality and chemistry caused by storage, and the displacemnt of some wildlife species.
(ELR ORDER # 51877)

Draft — Date

Offshore Terminal & Submarine Pipeline, Permit — 12/17

Virgin Islands
Proposed is the issuance of a dredge and construction permit to Hess Oil Virgin Islands Corporation (HOVIC) for the purpose of construction of an offshore oil tanker unloading terminal and submarine pipeline approximately 2 miles south of the HOVIC refinery at Limetree Bay, St. Croix. Adverse effects include destruction of 4 1/2 acres of reef coral habitat and killing of some fish by blasting. Suffocation of adjacent corals, benthic algaes, and seagrasses would also occur due to suspended solids.
(Jacksonville District)
(ELR ORDER # 51827)

Fox R., Wisconsin Navigation Project - O&M — 12/22

Wisconsin
County: several
Proposed is the continued operation and maintenance of the Fox River, Wisconsin Navigation Project. Project activities include operation and maintenance of locks and guard locks, channel dredging, and control of the operation of 13 dams. Temporary increase in turbidity and the destruction of benthic organisms in the areas dredged will result. Dredge material disposal may create undesirable effects due to leaching and runoff from the disposal sites.
(ELR ORDER # 51850)

Final — Date

Foster City Development, Permit — 12/12

California
County: San Mateo
The Estero Municipal Improvement District, Foster City has applied for a Dept. of the Army Permit to place approximately 2,470,000 cu.yds. of fill on 5 areas totalling 382 acres in Foster City, San Mateo County. The 5 areas are proposed to be used for residential, city center, and light industrial development. The alteration of land use would eliminate wildlife habitat, and increase the chance of air quality degradation. (San Francisco District)
.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA HEW HUD DOI DOT EPA AHP
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51813)

Napa River Flood Control Project — 12/12

California

County: Napa
The proposed flood control project entails channel widening and realignment, dredging, riprap on portions of the riverbanks, and construction of concrete step-walls through the central urban area of the city of Napa, California. Acquisition of 577 acres of former tidelands will be used to mitigate permanent loss of fish and wildlife due to degradation, permanent removal of 10 buildings (3 residential and 7 commercial), temporary loss of foliage due to the removal of plant materials, and temporary construction disruption (including noise, traffic congestion and loss of recreational opportunity). (San Francisco District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOT USCG USDA DOC HEW HUD DOI EPA AHP
(ELR ORDER # 51815)

San Francisco Bay Area Maintenance Dredging 12/23

California
The composite statement concerns the maintenance dredging to authorized depths of 20 projects in the San Francisco Bay Area. Dredged material from at least 15 projects are disposed of at five designated aquatic sites. For the other five projects land disposal is contemplated. Adverse effects will result from benthic disruption of dredging and dredge disposal.
COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP EPA FPC DOC HEW DOI USN USDA DOT
state and local agencies
and private groups
(ELR ORDER # 51859)

Allatoona Dam and Lake 12/10

Georgia
County: Bartow Cherokee Cobb
The project involves the continuation and maintenance of an existing multipurpose dam and reservoir located on the Etowah River in Barton, Cherokee and Cobb Counties. The project provides flood control, hydroelectrical power generation, recreational opportunities and regulation of stream flow for navigation. Adverse impacts include those of large releases of water for short periods of time as a result of peak power production, and of discharges in late summer containing low dissolved oxygen levels and causing concern downstream. (Mobile District)

COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI DOC DOT USDA HUD
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51797)

Hawaii Maintenance Dredging Activities 12/23

Hawaii
This project entails Federal Harbor maintenance dredging in the State of Hawaii, including the disposal of dredge spoil at ocean dumping sites located 3 to 5 miles offshore

from the harbor entrances. Adverse impacts will be the destruction of some benthic organisms by excavation and burial, environmental stress due to silting, the assimilation of pollutants by marine organisms, and the pollution of the benthic environment. (Honolulu District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP USDA DOC HEW DOI USCG EPA state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51853)

Dworshak Dam and Reservoir 12/10

Idaho
County: Clearwater
The proposed action is the completion, operation, and management of Dworshak Dam and Reservoir, a multiple-purpose water resource project located in Clearwater County. The project has been under construction since 1963 and is 84% complete. Project purposes are flood control and power production with additional benefits to recreation. Adverse impacts are the loss of 54 miles of river, and negative effects on aesthetics and recreation with reservoir drawdown. (Walla Walla District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI USCG EPA FPC USDA PRBC state and local agencies and one individual
(ELR ORDER # 51798)

Nine-Foot Channel, Illinois Waterway 12/01

Illinois
The statement refers to the continued operation and maintenance of a nine foot channel in the Illinois Waterway from the junction of the Calumet-Sag Channel and the Chicago Sanitary and Ship Canal to the La Grange Lock and Dam. Dredge spoil disposal sites are located throughout the waterway. There is some adverse impact to water quality and aquatic biota. (Chicago District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: USCG HEW FEA DOC HUD USDA AHP EPA DOI state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51743)

Marquette and Presque Isle Harbors, Maintenance 12/10

Michigan
County: Marquette
The statement concerns continued maintenance dredging of Marquette Harbor and Presque Isle Harbor in Marquette, Michigan in order to afford continued use of the harbor. Adverse impacts include: air and water contamination, disruption of the benthic habitat, increased turbidity, and in the case of an on-land disposal facility for Presque Harbor, altered land use. (St. Paul District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA USDA HEW DOC DOI DOT state and local agencies and individuals
(ELR ORDER # 51795)

Berry's Creek Embankment and Facilities, Permit 12/12

New Jersey
County: Bergen
The statement concerns the issuance of a permit to the New Jersey Sports and Exposition Complex to construct an embankment and other facilities in the Hackensack Meadowlands, adjacent to Berry's Creek. Approximately 35 acres of mercury contaminated marsh will be permanently lost due to activities within Berry's Creek Tidal Marsh. Development of the sports complex will result in increased economic development of the area along with increased noise and air pollution from the traffic volume and parking facility. The elimination of some marsh area would necessitate a change in the habitat of native terrestrial wildlife and birds. (New York District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: HUD AHP HEW FPC DOC EPA DOI DOT state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51808)

Dunkirk Harbor Maintenance 12/01

New York
County: Chautaugua
Proposed is the periodic dredging of about 20,000 cubic yards of sediment from Dunkirk Harbor navigation channels. Spoil will be deposited in a confined disposal facility at Buffalo. Also planned is the periodic maintenance of the existing pier and breakwater. Adverse impacts include resuspension of toxic chemical materials, disturbance of existing fish populations, turbidity and changes in water color, and generation of noise and dust during the placement of structural materials. (Buffalo District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOC HEW DOI DOT EPA state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51736)

Transmission Cable Permit Application, Long Island 12/05

New York
County: Nassau
The proposed action is the issuance of a construction permit to the Long Island Lighting Company and Con Edison to dredge, excavate, dispose of material, backfill, and install two steel conduits and associated cables across Long Island Sound, and to install five steel conduits across Hempstead Harbor, New York. Adverse impacts include the destruction of benthic organisms through dredging, and the blasting of 600 feet of rock. (New York District)

COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP USDA DOC HEW DOI USN DOT EPA FPC
(ELR ORDER # 51766)

Final — Date

Mount Morris Flood Control Project — 12/23

New York
County: Livingston
The statement refers to the operation and maintenance of Mount Morris Dam, Lake, and Reservation. Activities include daily gage readings, periodic structural repair and landscaping, removal of floating and sunken debris and sediment. Approximately 40,000 cubic yards of sediment and debris requires removal at the present time. Adverse impacts include disruption of 2,850 acres of terrestrial habitat and water pollution from dredging activities. (Buffalo District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: FPC USDA DOC HEW DOI DOT EPA state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51857)

Huron Harbor, Operations and Maintenance — 12/10

Ohio
County: Erie
The project entails maintenance of the 3523-foot west pier, the 1450-foot east breakwater, the 200-foot steel sheet pile shore protection and the existing 25-foot project depth in the lake approach and entrance channel. Polluted sediments dredged from the harbor will be deposited in an open lake dumping site in 1975 and in a diked disposal facility (now under construction) in 1976. Adverse impacts include annual disruption of the aquatic ecosystem, adverse effects upon water quality, recreation, aesthetics, and boat traffic during dredging. (Buffalo District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI USDA DOT
(ELR ORDER # 51796)

Kaw Lake, Arkansas River — 12/02

Oklahoma
County: Kay Osage Cowley
The statement is the final for the updated and revised DEIS submitted to CEQ October 21, 1974. It proposes continued construction of a multi-purpose reservoir project on the Arkansas River 8 miles east of Ponca City. The lake will significantly reduce annual flood damages and will provide a marginal quality but dependable water supply and recreation facility for the area. Adverse environmental effects include: inundation of 17,000 acres of land and 46 miles of river and streams; subjection to inundation of an additional 21,000 acres during floods; adverse effects on oil recovery operations, highways, roads, pipelines, powerlines, and the nearby cities; and the relocation of about 430 families. (Tulsa)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI DOC FPC HUD EPA DOT HEW USDA AHP state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51747)

Final — Date

Coos Bay (Supplement) — 12/18

Oregon
County: Coos
Proposed is the construction of a channel across the outer bar of Coos Bay 45 feet deep and 700 feet wide, reducing gradually to 35 feet deep and 300 feet wide, and a 15-mile long inner channel. The project also includes the enlarging of existing turning basins. Construction will involve blasting, dredging, and disposal of an estimated 8,550,000 cubic yards of material at sea, in-Bay, and on land. Construction disruption and disturbance of 125 acres of ocean and estuarine bottom area and 437 acres of land disposal area would result. When the project is completed, the area will require about 1 to 2 months additional dredging time each dredging interval. (Portland)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI EPA HUD USDA FPC DOC
state and local agencies
and individuals
(ELR ORDER # 51837)

Freeport Hurricane Flood Protection — 12/18

Texas
County: Brazoria
The statement refers to the completion of the hurricane flood protection project for Freeport and vicinity to provide protection to the cities and towns of Freeport, Lake Jackson, Clute, Lake Barbara and adjacent areas. Construction of a tidal control and navigation structure in Old River will cause temporary turbidity during construction and destruction of some benthic organisms.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOC DOT HEW HUD EPA AHP
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51834)

Houston Ship Channel, Dredging (2) — 12/23

Texas
County: Galveston Chambers Harris
The statement is a revision of a draft EIS submitted to CEQ December 29, 1971. Proposed is the maintenance dredging of shoaled material from the Houston ship Channel. The channel extends 50 miles from Bolivar Roads at the lower end of Galveston Bay, across Galveston Bay and through the lower reaches of the San Jacinto River and Buffalo Bayou to the Houston turning basin. Dredge materials will be placed in leveed land disposal areas and in open bay sites, resulting in increased pollution. The project will destroy benthic habitat of dredged areas.
COMMENTS MADE BY: HEW EPA HUD DOC DOI USCG AHP
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51856)

Final Date

Albemarle and Chesapeake, and Dismal Swamp Canals 12/02

Virginia North Carolina
The statement refers to the maintenance dredging of the Albemarle and Chesapeake Canal and the Dismal Swamp Canal North Carolina. The dredging will be done by hydraulic dredge. Adverse impacts are increased turbidity, destruction of benthic community, loss of marshlands and terrestrial vegetation and habitat, interference to local recreational hunting, and routes of the Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway, Virginia and North Carolina. (Norfolk District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOC DOI DOT AHP
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51748)

Wishart Point, Maintenance Dredging 12/10

Virginia
County: Accomack
The statement concerns the maintenance dredging of a channel 60 feet wide and 6 feet deep from the Delaware Bay-Chesapeake Bay Waterway at Four Mouths through Balcast Narrows and across Powell's Bay and Bogues Bay to Wishart Point, a distance of about 2 miles. Adverse impacts include removal of organisms at the site of dredging and disturbance of disposal site. (Norfolk District)

COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI DOC USCG AHP
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51794)

Mill Creek Flood Control, Walla Walla, Wash. 12/01

Washington
County: Walla Walla
The statement refers to the impacts of continued operation and maintenance of a diversion dam, an off-channel storage reservoir, and surrounding lands which comprise the Mill Creek project. The major impact is that of poor water quality of the reservoir due to high turbidity. (Walla Walla District)

COMMENTS MADE BY: DOT USDA EPA
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51735)

Tacoma Harbor Project, Washington 12/10

Washington
County: Pierce
Proposed is the maintenance dredging of Tacoma Harbor. Three sections of the Blair Waterway will be dredged to a

depth of 40 feet; dredged spoil will be placed behind dikes on an 82 acres disposal site. Adverse impacts will include the effects upon the benthic community. (Seattle District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: OEO EPA NMFS DOC DOI USCG HUD
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51793)

Squalicum Small Boat Basin 12/17

Washington
County: Whatcom
Proposed is the enlarging of Squalicum Small Boat Basin an additional 46 acres to provide moorage space for about 725 recreational boats. The project involves the removal of 600 feet of existing breakwater, the construction of 1,500 feet of a new breakwater and the dredging of 1,000,000 cubic yards of material. Adverse impacts include the disturbance of migrating salmon and the dredging of wetlands. (Seattle District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA HUD DOC USDA DOT DOI AHP
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51829)

ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ADMIN.

Contact: Mr. W. Herbert Pennington
Office of Assistant Administrator
E-201, ERDA
Washington, D.C. 20545
(301) 973-4241

Draft — Date

Liquid Metal Fast Breeder Reactor Program — 12/31

Following the Proposed Final Statement received by CEQ 16 January 1975, this Final EIS is issued in support of ERDA's program for the development of Liquid Metal Fast Breeder Reactor (LMFBR) technology. The Final Statement incorporates the PFES and supplemental information required as a result of the Administrator's review of it.
(ELR ORDER # 51881)

Final — Date

Waste Management, Hanford Reservation — 12/22

Washington
County: Benton
The statement refers to ERDA's continuing waste management operations at the Hanford Reservation. Current operations consist of the treatment and storage or disposal of radioactive and non-radioactive solid, liquid, and gaseous effluents from production and research and development efforts at Hanford. The current program calls for the conversion of waste from a liquid to a salt cake form.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA NSF FPC HEW DOC DOD DOI EPA DOT
state and local agencies
concerned groups and individuals
(ELR ORDER # 51848)

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Contact: Mr. Sheldon Meyers
Director, Office of Federal Activities
Room 3630 Waterside Mall
Washington D.C. 20460
(202) 755-0940

Draft — Date

Livermore-Amador Valley Wastewater Management — 12/03

California
Proposed is the implementation of a Wastewater Management Plan for the Livermore-Amador Valley, California. This plan would : continue treatment at the existing Livermore Water Reclamation Plant (WRP) and Valley Community Services District (VCSD) treatment plants, require a pipeline from the Livermore WRP to VCSD, and require a force main along I-580 to Boehmer Summit. A gravity pipeline would then carry the flows to the Oro Loma-Castro Valley pumping station of the EBD Interceptor. Construction activities would adversely affect the surrounding areas.
(ELR ORDER # 51754)

Water Quality Program (Phase I), San Francisco Bay — 12/12

California
Proposed is a Water Quality Management Plan designed to eliminate six shallow shoreline discharges of treated, chlorinated sewage from the eastern shore of Lower and South San Francisco Bay. The existing Irvington and Newark sewage treatment plants will be abandoned and replaced by untreated sewage pump stations. New discharge locations will increase the transport and dispersion of pollutants from the Lower Bay to the Central Bay and Pacific Ocean. Adverse effects include damage to bottom organisms, and traffic disruption and other construction associated impacts.
(ELR ORDER # 51807)

Arlington-East District Wastewater Facilities — 12/17

Florida
County: Duval
Proposed is the awarding of grant funds to the City of Jacksonville, Florida for the preparation of plans and specifications for regional wastewater treatment facilities to service the Arlington-East District. Adverse impacts include the loss of 46.98 acres of wildlife habitat. The operation of the facility will cause the discharge of initially 10 mgd and ultimately 25 mgd of secondary treated wastewater to the St. James River.
(ELR ORDER # 51825)

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

Draft	Date
Cargo Transport, U.S.Gulf/Atlantic to Far East	12/08

The statement concerns FMC's investigation of the transport of containerized cargoes between various US Atlantic/Gulf amd Far East ports. The proceeding, Docket No. 73-38: Council of North American Shipping Association, et.al. v. American Mail Lines Ltd. et.al. was instituted to determine if transport of these cargoes by rail to and from Far East ports under joint rail-water through rates is contrary to sections of the Shipping Act, 1916 and violative of Section 8 of the Merchant Marine Act of 1920. By the Rail-water mode less energy is consumed but pollutant emissions would increase by approximately 2,891 short tons over the U.S.
(ELR ORDER # 51774)

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

Contact: Dr. Jack M. Heinemann, Acting Asst. Director
for Environmental Quality
441 G Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20426
(202) 275-4791

Draft — Date

Alaska Natural Gas Transportation Systems — 12/03

Alaska
Proposed is the conveyance of Alaskan natural gas from the Prudhoe Bay Field in Alaska and from the MacKenzie Delta region of Canada to market areas in the lower 48 states. Two separate natural gas transportation systems have been proposed. Environmental impacts would occur with respect to effects on man, wildlife, vegetation, soil, water quality, air quality, and noise levels.
(ELR ORDER # 51755)

Final — Date

Southern Natural Pipeline System Curtailment — 12/18

The action consists of FPC's analysis of two permanent curtailment plans for the Southern Natural Pipeline System. The plan will result in increased use of coal and oil to replace the curtailed natural gas and the associated cost increases, and increased pollution in the form of sulfur dioxide and particulates. Alternatives considered in the statement are unregulated curtailment and new sources of gas supplies. Reference is made to the fact that rate structure and deregulation are not included as alternatives to curtailment.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI DOC
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51833)

Helms Project, California — 12/10

California
County: Madera
This action entails consideration of an application for license and amendment of license by Pacific Gas and Electric Company to construct a pumped storage project to be located in California. Adverse impacts of the project would include removal of 300 acres of Sierra N.F. from commercial timber production, loss of aquatic habitat and biota, increased public use of certain areas, aesthetic impacts on this rather pristine area, and disturbance of wildlife during construction.
COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP USDA COE DOC DOI DOT EPA NRC
state agencies, private groups,
and individuals
(ELR ORDER # 51804)

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Contact: Mr. Andrew E. Kauders
Executive Director of Environmental Affairs
General Services Administration
18th and F Streets, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20405
(202) 343-4161

Draft — Date

Federal Youth Center, Talladega — 12/04

Georgia
County: Talladega
The proposed action calls for construction of a Federal Youth Center in Talladega, Alabama to be operated by the Federal Bureau of Prisons, Department of Justice. The proposed site for the FYC consists of about 160 acres located on the south side of Renfroe Road at the edge of the city limits. The center will contain approximately 195,000 gross square feet, and will house about 400 male Federal offenders between the ages of 18 and 26.
(ELR ORDER # 51761)

Port Worth Federal Center, Proposed Alteration — 12/31

Texas
County: Tarrant
Proposed is the rapair, modification, alteration, and extension of facilities and buildings at the Federal Center, Forth Worth, Tarrant County, Texas in conformance with an overall master plan developed for the programmed accomplishment of this work. Operation of engine driven construction equipment will temporarily increase the levels of air pollutants such as hydrocarbons, oxides of nitrogen and sulphur, and possibly particulate matter.
(ELR ORDER # 51876)

Final — Date

Drug Enforcement Administration Lease Facility — 12/02

Texas
County: Dallas
The action proposes the lease construction of a facility for sole occupancy by the Drug Enforcement Administration, an agency of the US Department of Justice. Although no site has been selected, the most favorable location would be in a 14 sq. mile area just North of the Dallas Central Business District encompassing Love Field. The facility will supply 41,250 square feet of space, including office space, a laboratory, fire-arms range, and a helicopter landing pad.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI APP EPA DOT COE HEW HUD USDA state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51746)

DEPARTMENT OF HEW

Contact: Mr. Charles Custard
Acting Director, Office of Environmental Affairs
Office of the Assistant Secretary for Administrati
and Management
Room 3718 HEW-North
Washington, D.C. 20202
(202) 963-4456

Final Date

U.S. Navy Aqueduct, Florida Keys 12/12

Florida
County: Dade Monroe
The statement concerns a proposal for the U.S. Navy to turn their water supply system over to the Florida Keys Aqueduct Authority (FKAA) so that FKAA may expend the capacity of the present system to meet the needs of the rest of the Keys. The property consists of 289.11 acres in Dade County where wells are located and related equipment used to obtain and transport the water to the Florida Keys. Providing additional fresh water to the Keys will permit a human population increase in Monroe County that will bring about land development and associated air and water pollution.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA GSA DOI DOT EPA DOC
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51814)

DEPARTMENT OF HUD

Contact: Mr. Richard H. Broun
Director, Office of Environmental Quality
Room 7258
451 7th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20410
(202) 755-6308

Draft — Date

Ray Community Public Water System Extension — 12/29

Alabama
County: Coosa
Proposed is the use of HUD grant money for alteration and extension of a rural water system which is in the process of being installed in Ray, a community in central Alabama. The alteration would involve increasing the sizes of some pipes to be installed along a small part of the water system. Adverse effects include increase in dust and other air pollutants, increased noise, and traffic interruptions.
(ELR ORDER # 51879)

Woodbridge Village, Orange County — 12/30

California
County: Orange
Proposed is the provision of mortgage insurance, if requested, on 1700 acres of various residential sites in a new development known as the Village of Woodbridge in the south-central section of Orange County, California. Adverse effects include the conversion of agricultural land to urban use, elimination of native wildlife and flora, and modification of natural land forms in a flood plain. Project implementation would also cause an increase in public service, energy and facilities demand.
(ELR ORDER # 51873)

Charles Wilson Regional Park, Torrance, Cal.

California
County: Los Angeles
The statement concerns a Community Development Block Grant to the City of Torrance for the development of Charles P. Wilson Community Park. The 44 acre site is part of a Heavy Manufacturing-zoned 46.6 acre parcel. Adverse impacts expected from the project include increased traffic, noise and congestion in the area.
(ELR ORDER # 51805)

Estancias de Rio Hondo Development, San Juan 12/01

Puerto Rico
Proposed is the construction of approximately 1,625 housing units and a large shopping center in a tract of 300 acres. The project is located in the Hato Tejas Ward of Bayamon, Puerto Rico in the Metropolitan Area of San Juan. Adverse effects include the shifting of 300 acres of land from grazing to residential uses and increase in noise levels in areas close to the De Diego Expressway and Comerio Avenue.
(ELR ORDER # 51742)

Final Date

Modular Integrated Utility Systems 12/22

The statement assesses the environmental impact from installation and operation of the Modular Integrated Utility Systems in a generic sense. The impacts were evaluated by use of a hypothetical housing development and were found to be less harmful to the environment than conventional utility systems.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA COE HEW DOI EPA NASA
(ELR ORDER # 51847)

Hutchinson's Green Mt. Village Development 12/18

Colorado
County: Jefferson
The statement concerns approval of FHA mortgage insurance for Hutchinson's Green Mountain Village-A Planned Development. The 1006-acre, 5098-unit development will provide housing for middle-income families. Adverse impacts include: the alteration of existing plant and animal communities; the increased load on the Lakewood and Denver metropolitan region infrastructure, particularly the solid waste disposal and transportation components; and increased automobile generated pollutants.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI USDA HEW COE FEA VA AHP
(ELR ORDER # 51835)

Eagle Valley Farms, Mortgage Insurance 12/19

Indiana
County: Marion
The statement concerns the application for Mortgage Insurance for a single family subdivision on 470 acres of land in Indianapolis, Indiana. The proposed development is adjacent to Interstate I-74 and I465 and U.S. 136. The project will result in a slight decline in farmland.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOC HEW DOI DOT GSA USDA EPA AHP
state and regional agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51842)

Inner Harbor West Urban Renewal, Baltimore 12/09

Maryland
County: Baltimore
Proposed is a project for urban renewal encompassing 68 acres of land bordering on the southern end of the Central Business District of Baltimore. Roughly 1,300 housing units are planned of which approximately 80 to 100 units are slated for rehabilitation under the City's homesteading project. There are potential noise problems associated with automobile and truck traffic should I-395 be constructed. There will also be a potential negative impact on the housing market.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI HEW DOC EPA
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51786)

Cromwell Road Low-Rent Housing, Chattanooga 12/11

Tennessee
County: Hamilton
The Chattanooga Housing Authority is requesting an Annual Contribution Contract (ACC) for 200 units of low-rent public housing to meet the need for replacement housing as a result of displacement by an Urban Renewal Project. The units are to be built on a 90 acre tract on Cromwell Road in Chattanooga, Tennessee. Adverse impacts include those associated with the nearby airport and railroad, the absence of water and sewer facilities, lack of adequate recreation facilities in the immediate area, and the lack of public transportation to serve the project.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA TVA HEW USDA DOI
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51806)

Park Forest North, Plano, Texas 12/03

Texas
County: Collin
The proposal concerns an application by Fox & Jacobs, Inc. for Federal mortgage insurance on a predominantly residential development of 1064 homes with acreage allocated for apartments, small commercial enterprises, parks, schools, and office buildings. When completed, it would comprise the northern extension of an existing subdivision making a total contiguous development by this builder of approximately 1500 acres. The eis covers only the final section of 450 acres. The proposed development would create greater demand for fossilfuels through heavy dependence on the automobile for transportation to jobs and take good agricultural land out of production.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI HUD USDA AHP COE
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51753)

Park Central Development, Port Arthur 12/31

Texas
County: Jefferson
Proposed is the transformation of 701 acres of coastal prarie land into a planned urban development. The tract, formerly undevelopable because of the threat of hurricanes, is now considered for development since the construction of the Port Arthur hurricane levee system. The statement indicates no significant adverse effects.
COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP DOT EPA USDA COE
state and local agencies
and private groups
(ELR ORDER # 51878)

The following are Community Development Block Grant statements prepared and circulated directly by applicants pursuant to section 104(h) of the 1974 Housing and Community Development Act. Copies may be obtained from the office of the appropriate local chief executive. (Copies are not available from HUD).

Section 104(h)

Draft Date

Water & Sewer Project, Brawley Co. Water District 12/08

California
County: Imperial
The proposed project consists of installation of water and sewer facilities to service the area encompassed by the Brawley Water County District, California. The district entails approximately 316 acres and would particularly affect the Central Imperial County area. Adverse effects include minor disruptions during construction, possible increased population, and air quality deterioration.
(ELR ORDER # 51778)

Low-Income Multi-Service Center, Livermore 12/09

California
County: Alameda
The proposed project is construction of an office building and development of relative off-street parking area in Livermore, California, to serve the Low-Income Multi-Service Center. Adverse effects will consist of increased levels of noise and air pollution.
(ELR ORDER # 51791)

Edenvale 11th Addition, Eden Prairie 12/08

Minnesota
County: Hennepin
Proposed is the provision by HUD of mortgage insurance for single family homes and multifamily housing projects, Edenvale Planned Unit Development, Eden Prairie. Adverse effects of development are removal of farm land from production and increased demand for facilities and services. Increase in noise pollution and auto traffic are also expected, as well as disturbance of the ecological system by construction.
(ELR ORDER # 51781)

Downtown-West Redevelopment Project, Norfolk 12/17

Virginia
County: several
The proposed project consists of eliminating blight and negative environmental factors on 67 acres of downtown waterfront property, the provision of as much as 3,300 units of housing and 120,000 square feet of compatible waterfront-related commercial activity, and the provision of public access and amenities along the Elizabeth River in Norfolk, Virginia. Adverse effects include impacts on air quality, noise, public services and the adjacent historic district.
(ELR ORDER # 51831)

Final Date

Washington Square West Urban Renewal, Philadelphia 12/01

Pennsylvania
County: Philadelphia
The statement concerns an urban renewal project for a 107-acre area in southeast Philadelphia. The major adverse environmental effects are those associated with the disruption of an existing area/community by project activities. Existing residents and businesses will be relocated. Parking problems and noise and air pollution are also discerned.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOC CEQ DOT HEW EPA DOI AHP
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51740)

Water Line Extension, Marshall County 12/08

Tennessee
County: Marshall
The project is the construction of a 9.3 mile long water line extension in Marshall County, Tennessee. The service area encompasses approximately 3,945 acres and 250 people. Construction of the line will require the disruption of Springplace Road (F.A.S. 4329) in four places and the

crossing of existing fence rows and private driveways.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA USDA
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51779)

NW Texarkana Sewer Mains 12/12

Texas
The project seeks to provide sewer utilities to the residents in the area north of I-30, east of Summerhill Road, and west of Richmond Road excluding the Richmond Pines subdivision. The sewer mains will collect into one main running roughly north-soute parallel to Cowhorn Creek ending at I-30 until completion of the Cowhorn Creek Outfall. Adverse impacts of the project include the disturbance of area ecology, the silting in Cowhorn Creek, site scarring, traffic disruption, construction noise, and air quality degradation, all of which are expected to be temporary.
COMMENTS MADE BY: COE EPA
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51812)

DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR

Contact: Mr. Bruce Blanchard
Director, Environmental Project Review
Room 7260
Department of the Interior
Washington, D.C. 20240
(202) 343-3891

Bureau of Land Management

Draft — Date

Colony Development, Oil Shale Resources — 12/15

Colorado Utah
The proposed action is the consideration of a right-of-way permit for an oil shale products pipeline from a plant site in Colorado to Lisbon Valley, Utah. Related to this Federal action would be a 337-acre exchange between BLM and Colony Development Corporation. Adverse impacts include emission of odors characteristic of refining and shale processing, decrease in water quality, destruction of a section of the Middle Fork Creek stream bed, and increased sedimentation.
(ELR ORDER # 51820)

OCS Sale # 40, Mid-Atlantic States — 12/10

New Jersey Delaware
The statement concerns the leasing of 154 tracts (876,750 acres) of Outer Continental Shelf lands. The tracts are located offshore New Jersey and Delaware 54 to 108.5 miles from shore. All tracts offered pose some degree of pollution risk to the environment due to accidental or chronic oil spillage. Socioeconomic effects from onshore development may cause local problems.
(ELR ORDER # 51792)

Final — Date

OCS Lease Sale, Gulf of Mexico — 12/12

The statement concerns the lease sale of 135 tracts offshore Texas, Louisiana, Mississippi, Alabama, and Florida which would add 698,077 acres under federal lease in the Gulf. Development of the tracts would require an estimated 150 to 400 wells from 20 to 50 platforms and require 50 to 100 miles of pipeline. The possibility of an oil spill will be increased.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOC DOD ERDA DOI
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51809)

Red Rock Canyon Recreation Lands 12/01

Nevada
County: Clark
The statement refers to a proposed management plan for 62,000 acres of public land and 2,000 acres of private land, located fifteen miles west of Las Vegas. The lands will be managed for the preservation of wilderness and other natural areas; for recreation development; for private commercial development (of lodges and a dude ranch); and for visitor and administrative uses. There will be some road and trail construction, and degradation of primitive and natural environmental values.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51739)

Bureau of Outdoor Recreation

Draft Date

Dolores National Wild and Scenic River 12/16

Colorado
County: several
Proposed is legislative action to include a 105-mile segment of the Dolores River and 56,400 acres of adjacent land located in the State of Colorado in the National Wild and Scenic Rivers Systems classified as 33 miles wild, 41 miles scenic, and 31 miles recreational. Adverse effects include increased litter, pollution of water and air, and other impacts associated with increased visitation to the proposal area.
(ELR ORDER # 51823)

New National Wild and Scenic River 12/01

North Carolina
County: Ashe Alleghany
Proposed is the declaration of a segment of the New River and its tributary, South Fork, as a component of the National Wild and Scenic Rivers System under the provisions of the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, Public Law 90-542. The stream segments are located within Ashe and Alleghany Counties in North Carolina. No irreversible and irretrievable commitment of resources would be involved.
(ELR ORDER # 51734)

Bonneville Power Administration

Draft Date

W. Burley Service Sta., Location (Supplement) 12/17

Idaho
County: Cassia

This statement is the supplement to the BPA FY 1977 draft EIS filed with CEQ July 17, 1975. Proposed isthe construction of approximately 7 miles of 138-kV transmission lines between the newly planned West Burley Substation and BPA's Unity Substation, both near Burley, Idaho. Adverse impacts include disturbance to natural vegetation, cropland, and associated wildlife habitat. Noise and other disturbances to residents will occur.
(ELR ORDER # 51828)

Bureau of Reclamation

Draft — Date

Narrows Unit, Pick-Sloan Missouri Basin Program — 12/09

Colorado
County: Morgan
This project entails construction of Narrows Dam and Reservoir to store and regulate flows in the South Platte River, and to provide irrigation, flood control, recreation, fish and wildlife enhancement, and municipal and industrial needs. Adverse impacts include the inundation of 15.5 miles of natural stream and 15,000 acres of agricultural and wildlife lands, and the loss of vegetation in the reservoir. Approximately 220 families will be displaced.
(ELR ORDER # 51788)

Final — Date

Nueces River Project, Texas — 12/16

Texas
County: Live Oak McMullen
Proposed is the construction of an earthfill dam and reservoir on the Choke Canyon site of the Frio River. The project is intended to provide municipal and industrial water supply for the Corpus Christi Coastal Bend area, improve downstream freshwater sport fishing, and provide reservoir-based recreation and fishing. Adverse impacts would include the taking of 33,860 acres, 80 residences, and related utilities; the inundation of 26,000 acres of wildlfe habitat and 34 miles of fish habitat; and the salvage or loss of archeological resources. The effects of the project's water inflow to Corpus Christi Bay would be to increase salinity, modify the Bay's productive capacity, and reduce estuarine fishing.
COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP DOI USA DOC HEW HUD DLAB DOT EPA FPC state and local agencies and individuals
(ELR ORDER # 51822)

Central Utah Project, Jensen Unit — 12/16

Utah
County: Uintah

The statement concerns the construction and operation of the Jensen Unit to develop water to irrigate and to augment existing municipal supplies. About 520 acres of land would be inundated by Tyzack Reservoir. The major permanent impact would be scars along the aqueduct alignment which would resist restoration measures. Salinity of the lower Colorado River is estimated to be increased 1.6mg/l at Imperial Dam as a result of the project.
COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP USDA USA EPA HEW HUD DOI
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51824)

Completion of Lyman Project, Wyoming 12/09

Wyoming Utah
The proposed action is the construction of an earthfill dam on Smiths Fork to complete southwestern Wyoming's Lyman Project. The adverse effects of this project would include the direct loss of 300 acres of diverse wildlife habitat for numerous species, the inundation of about 172 acres of timber, the elimination of 1.8 miles of fishery habitat, an increase in evaporation loss of 25 acre-feet annually, short-term increases in sedimentation, esthetic degradation in the area, and possible minor earth slipouts in the dam embankment.
COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP USDA USA EPA HEW HUD DOI
state and local agencies
and private groups
(ELR ORDER # 51787)

Geological Survey

Draft Date

Cordero Mine, Mining and Reclamation Plan 12/23

Wyoming
County: Campbell
Proposed is the approval of a surface mining and reclamation plan, Cordero Mine, Sun Oil Company, Campbell County, Wyoming. The plan proposes to mine 337 million tons at an expected full production rate of about 12 million tons per year. Adverse impacts include the degradation of ground and surface water quantity and quality, livestock and wildlife grazing, and recreational hunting and open space qualities.
(ELR ORDER # 51861)

National Park Service

Draft Date

St. Croix National Scenic Riverway 12/15

Wisconsin Minnesota

Proposed is the provision of a master plan for the use, preservation, development, and interpretation of the St. Croix National Scenic Riverway and adjacent state lands. Plan implementation would require 77.35 acres of clean-cleared space, 17.35 of which would be removed from available wildlife habitat. Wildlife use of the remaining 60 acres will be reduced.
(ELR ORDER # 51817)

Final — Date

Proposed Master Plan, Grand Canyon Complex — 12/01

Arizona
County: Mohave Coconino
Proposed is a master plan for the use, development, interpretation, and preservation of the Grand Canyon National Park. The park would be enlarged to encompass the "Grand Canyon" entity; Grand Canyon Village would be redeveloped for concentrated, heavy, day use; the majority of park lands would be managed as natural or wilderness areas.

COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP USDA DOI DOT EPA FPC
state and local agencies
and individuals
(ELR ORDER # 51728)

Master Plan, Pinnacles National Monument — 12/03

California
County: Monterey
Proposed is a master plan for the management of the Pinnacles National Monument. The plan recommends measures to insure preservation of the resources of Pinnacles for public appreciation and for scientific research. These measures include the acquisition of land for preservation of wildlife species and relocation of visitor facilities; the conversion of all facilities to day use; and the installation of a visitor shuttle system for use during heavy visitation periods.
COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP USDA DOC DOI DOT EPA
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51752)

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Contact: Mr. Benard Rersche, Director of Division
of Reactor Licensing
P-722, NRC
Washington, D.C. 20555
(301) 492-7373

Draft | Date

Manufacture of Floating Nuclear Power Plants | 12/03

The statement is the second of two separately prepared environmental statements relating to the proposed siting and operation of floating nuclear power plants in the coastal waters of the Atlantic Ocean and the Gulf of Mexico. The previous statement covered the siting and operation of the offshore facility located at Blount Island, and this generic statement concerns the environmental considerations of siting and operation of floating nuclear power plants in general. Adverse impacts discussed include only those unique to offshore siting, specifically dredging and once-through cooling systems. (ELR ORDER # 51756)

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

Contact: Dr. Peter Krenkel
Director of Environmental Planning
720 Edney Building
Chattanooga, Tennessee 37401
(615) 755-2002

Draft | Date

Volunteer, Tennessee 500-kV Substation | 12/23

Tennessee
County: Knox
The proposed action is the construction and operation of a 500-161-13-kV substation in Knox County, Tennessee, and several connecting transmission lines to tie the station into TVA's existing transmission system. Adverse effects include the clearing of 150 acres with associated timber production loss, animal habitat changes, and visual impacts.
(ELR ORDER # 51852)

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Contact: Mr. Martin Convisser, Director
Office of Environmental Affairs
U.S. Department of Transportation
400 7th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20590
(202) 426-4357

Draft — Date

Motor Carrier Reform Act — 12/08

The proposed Motor Carrier Reform Act is intended to bring about a more efficient use of resources by motor carriers regulated by the Interstate Commerce Commission. The statement indicates that enactment would result in the conservation of fuel and decreased traffic congestion.
(ELR ORDER # 51768)

Federal Aviation Administration

Draft — Date

New York Tracon, Hempstead — 12/29

New York
County: Nassau
The proposed action consists of construction of an air traffic control facility in Nassau County, New York, which will provide approach control services to and from Kennedy, La Guardia, Newark, Islip, Westchester and associated satellite airports. Adverse impacts include construction noise, dust and traffic disruption, and increased utility consumption. Topics Pouse, a county sponsored drug rehabilitation center, will be forced to relocate.
(ELR ORDER # 51864)

Final — Date

Manistee County - Blacker Airport — 12/17

Michigan
County: Manistee
The statement refers to the improvement of the Manistee County - Blacker Airport in the city of Manistee. The project involves land acquisition, construction of a combination firecrash maintenance and electrical vault building, installation of lights and markings on one runway, the abandonment of another runway, extension of an apron, and the construction of a taxiway. Adverse impact are the removal of vegetation and topping of trees on part of the 21 acres of aviation easement, and increased levels of air and noise pollution.

COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI DOT COE AHP USDA
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51830)

Federal Highway Administration

Draft — Date

Paramount Boulevard, Beverly Blvd. to Olympic Blvd — 12/04

California
County: Los Angeles
Proposed is the completion of an overall project on Paramount Boulevard, Los Angeles, California, which in its entirety extends from Beverly Boulevard to Whittier Boulevard. The segment discussed in this statement is the remaining 0.5 mile segment between Beverly Boulevard and Olympic Boulevard still to be constructed. Adverse effects include some increase in the level of traffic noise and auto emissions.
(ELR ORDER # 51759)

U.S. 30, Caldwell-Nampa Boulevard — 12/22

Idaho
County: Canyon
The proposed project is an improvement of U.S. Highway 30 between the cities of Caldwell and Nampa, known locally as Caldwell/Nampa Boulevard. The study area runs 5.7 miles from Wilson Drain to Phyllis Canal. Adverse effects include disruption of traffic circulation and increased noise, air, and water pollution, all direct results of construction. Right-of-way acquisition will total 14.83 acres.
(ELR ORDER # 51846)

St. Joe Rd.: Evard Rd. to Mayhew Rd. (Supplement) — 12/29

Indiana
County: Allen
This statement is the supplement to a draft EIS filed with CEQ 14 February 1973. The proposed project provides for improvements on St. Joe Road from Evard Road to Mayhew Road and from U.S. 30 to Stellhorn Road, Allen County, Indiana. The only commitment of resources accountable to this project will be the actual construction materials and the human effort necessary to complete the roadway.
(ELR ORDER # 51880)

Iowa 9, Waukon to Lansing — 12/04

Iowa
County: Allamakee

Proposed is the upgrading of a segment of Iowa 9 in Allamakee County from 7th Street S.E. in Waukon, northeasterly approximately 17.4 miles to 2nd Street in Lansing. The proposed construction would consist of 24-foot wide pavement with 10-foot stabilized shoulders on an improved vertical and horizontal alignment. Adverse impacts include the loss of 65 to 90 acres of pastureland, the removal of wildlife habitat, and an increase in dust and noise levels.
(ELR ORDER # 51760)

Freeway 518 and Iowa 92 Relocation 12/04

Iowa
County: Washington Johnson
Proposed is the development of a north-south freeway route generally paralleling the alignment of present U.S. 218 in southeastern Iowa. The proposed facility would extend northerly from just south of Iowa 92 in Washington County to the intersection of I-80 and I-380 in Johnson County. Also being considered is a 1.3 mile relocation of Iowa 92 in the vicinity of the proposed Freeway 518-Iowa 92 interchange. Adverse effects include the displacement of 10 to 316 individuals, and the loss of cropland, pasture, and woodland.
(ELR ORDER # 51764)

I-70 Widening, Kansas City 12/10

Kansas
County: Wyandotte
Proposed is the widening of Interstate 70 from 94th Street to 10th Street in Kansas City. The improvement would consist of the addition of one lane in each direction between I-435 and I-635 and two lanes in each direction between I-635 and I-670. An additional 50 acres of land will be required for right-of-way, and from 2 to 52 families will be displaced. Construction disruption will result.
(ELR ORDER # 51803)

US-36 Improvement, Kansas-Mo. State Line West 12/12

Kansas
County: Brown Doniphan
Proposed is the improvement of US-36, extending from the Kansas-Missouri State Line at St. Joseph, Missouri, to a point one mile east of the Brown-Doniphan County Line and one mile south of the existing US-36. Adverse effects include the removal of 1,600 acres of cropland from production, the acquisition of private residences with resultant displacement of people, and increases in noise and air pollution.
(ELR ORDER # 51810)

M-21 Relocation, From M-24 to Near Wadhams 12/15

Michigan
County: Lapeer St. Clair
This project consists of approximately 40 miles of a limited access arterial freeway from M-24 in Lapeer County, easterly to existing M-21 near Wadhams in St. Clair County, Michigan. The new facility would cause the removal of agricultural lands from production, the displacement of residences and other structures, and the alteration of local highway access routes by closure of several county roads.
(ELR ORDER # 51818)

Route 60, Carter County 12/23

Missouri
County: Carter
Proposed is the acquisition of limited access right-of-way for an ultimate 4 lane expressway around the southern limits of Van Buren, Missouri. The project provides for improvement of a curvilinear section of Route 60 and the replacement of the bridge over the Current River. Adverse effects include the removal of 35 houses and relocation of 90 people.
(ELR ORDER # 51854)

West Cornhusker Highway, Lincoln 12/08

Nebraska
County: Lancaster
The proposed roadway improvement involves the upgrading and reconstruction of a 1.3 mile segment of West Cornhusker Highway and North West First Street in Lincoln, Nebraska. Construction will require an additional 12 acres of right-of-way and will require the relocation of twelve families and two businesses. A 4(f) statement is included concerning Oak Lake Park.
(ELR ORDER # 51770)

SR 63, Ashland 12/10

Nebraska
County: Saunders
The statement concerns the reconstruction of State Highway 63 to provide a more direct, flood free, all-weather route in Ashland, Nebraska. The segment will be from 0.86 to 3.6 miles long and require six to 52 acres of right-of-way, depending upon alternative chosen. Adverse impacts include dislocation of families and businesses, water pollution during construction, and vegetation loss.
(ELR ORDER # 51802)

US 90, I-10 to SP 146 12/29

Texas
County: Harris Liberty
The proposed action is construction of a controlled access freeway in northeast Harris County and consists of the relocation and improvement of US Highway 90, with a total length of approximately 21.5 miles. Adverse impacts include the taking of forest and grass lands for right-of-way purposes resulting in a loss of flora and fauna. Project implementation would require the displacement of 52 families and 13 businesses.
(ELR ORDER # 51866)

SR-90, Junction SR-5 to Vicinity Junction SR-405 12/18

Washington
County: King
Proposed is the construction of a 10-lane Interstate Freeway, (SR-90), extending from Junction SR-5 to Vicinity Junction SR-405. Project length is 6.79 miles. Adverse effects include displacement of residences and businesses, the elimination of native vegetation, and the destruction of a small amount of wildlife. A 4(f) statement is included in reference to Sturgas Park, Judkins Playground and Luther Burbank Park.
(ELR ORDER # 51838)

Final Date

I-70, Dostsero-West (I 70-2(11)) 12/10

Colorado
County: Garfield Eagle
Proposed is the design and construction of a 20 mile section of Interstate 70 beginning about two miles east of Glenwood Springs and extending easterly to near Gypsum, Colorado. Adverse impacts of the project include encroachments into the Colorado River in certain areas of the canyon, displacement of small picnic areas, and physical changes in the canyon from rock cuts, excavation, and tunnels, or construction of long and high bridges. A 4(f) statement is included.
COMMENTS MADE BY: HUD COE DOI USDA DOT
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51801)

S.R. 500, Melbourne, Florida 12/08

Florida
County: Brevard
Proposed is the reconstruction of six miles of S.R. 500 from two to four lanes. Adverse impacts will include the taking of additional right-of-way, and the displacement of people; noise levels will increase. A 4(f) statement will be filed for the encroachment upon Melbourne Country Club

and Babcock Park.

COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOI HEW EPA
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51775)

I-474, Peoria and Tazewell 12/12

Illinois
County: Peoria Tazewell
The project involves the construction of I-474, a four lane highway facility in Peoria and Tazewell Counties. I-474 will be the complimentary interstate beltline route for I-74 in the greater Peoria area. Adverse impacts are the displacement of families, increased air and noise pollution, and the use of land for right-of-way purposes.
COMMENTS MADE BY: HUD DOI USDA EPA DOT
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51816)

Freeway 520, Webster and Hamilton Cos., Iowa 12/31

Iowa
County: Hamilton Webster
Proposed is the construction of approximately twenty miles of Freeway 520 in Webster and Hamilton Counties, Iowa. The project will begin 1,000 feet north of the south line of section 36 and 300 feet east of U.S. 20. It extends in a northeasterly direction crossing U.S. 169, and then continues in a southeasterly and easterly direction to a point 0.25 mile west of Des Moines Street in Webster City. A total of 900 acres will be required for right-of-way, and 10 families will be displaced by the project.
COMMENTS MADE BY: HEW USDA DOI EPA USCG
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51875)

US 220, I-85 to I-40 (Supplement) 12/08

North Carolina
County: Guilford
Proposed is a freeway connection between I-85 and I-40 in Greensboro, North Carolina. The 1.83 mile project will provide an at-grade intersection at the northern end until a future freeway extension is constructed. Adverse impacts include the disruption and relocation of 44 families, 3 businesses, and 1 church, the commitment of 87 acres of land, and the relocation of approximately 50 cemetary graves. The draft statement included US 220 from Level Cross to I-40, and a final filed 27 March 1974 concerned the section of US 220 from Level Cross to I-85. This final covers the remaining segment.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA COE DOC EPA GSA HEW DOI OEO
(ELR ORDER # 51780) state agencies

Skipanon Bridge, S.H. 105, Warrenton 12/08

Oregon
County: Clatsop
The proposed project will remodel or replace the Skipanan River Bridge at Warrenton. The existing bridge, containing a floatout span, has been found to be in violation of the original 1916 Federal permit which required fast-opening bascule bridge, opening on demand. Three alternatives and a no action alternative are proposed. Construction would involve minor disturbance to the river streambed during construction. Long term alteration of upstream river use patterns, with potential for increased water pollution from boating and development activities may occur.
COMMENTS MADE BY: COE DOC DOI EPA
state and local agencies
and private groups
(ELR ORDER # 51782)

L.R. 1052, Cross Valley Expressway 12/03

Pennsylvania
County: Luzerne
The proposed project is the construction of 5.2 miles of the Cross Valley Expressway. The project will displace 18 families, and 11 commercial units. A 4(f) statement will be filed to obtain an unspecified amount of land from Hallenback Park. Mill Creek and Laurel Run Creek will be traversed and partially relocated, causing increased erosion and siltation. Other major adverse impacts are introduction of air and noise pollution on a new location.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA COE HEW HUD DOI EPA DOT
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51757)

State Route 58, Hamilton County, Tennessee 12/01

Tennessee
County: Hamilton
The statement refers to the proposed construction of S.R 58 which will connect the intersection of Riverfront Parkway and Main Street with the intersection of 20th and Broad Street. The length of the project is .87 miles. Adverse impacts are the displacement of families and businesses, and increased air and noise pollution.
COMMENTS MADE BY: HUD DOI TVA COE HEW EPA DOT
state and local agencies
and interest groups
(ELR ORDER # 51729)

State Route 95 12/01

Tennessee
County: Loudon
The proposed project, State Route 95, will be constructed to provide a 4-lane, divided facility, a median, and minimum right-of-way width of 200 ft. The project length is 3.0 miles. Several displacements would be necessary. The project will involve the loss of wildlife habitat and between 75 and 90 acres of agricultural land.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOT USDA DOI TVA EPA
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51737)

SR 111, Appalachian Corridor "J" 12/22

Tennessee
County: Van Buren Sequatchie
The statement refers to the reconstruction of State Route 11 in Van Buren County, which is a section of Appalachian Corridor "J". The length of the proposed four-lane road is approximately 13.8 miles beginning at the Sequatchie County line, and extending northward to near the south city limits of Spencer. The project is expected to have some adverse effects on the existing natural environment by reduction of total available wildlife habitat (480 acres) and temporary siltation of streams during construction.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI ARC USDA DOT TVA COE EPA AHP
(ELR ORDER # 51851)

Texas SH 19: FM 1507 to Junction SH 19 and SH 24 12/29

Texas
County: Lamar Delta
The proposed facility consists of a four lane highway project extending from FM 1507 in Paris 14.7 miles south to the junction of State Highway 19 and State Highway 24 near Lake Creek. The project is located in Lamar and Delta Counties, Texas. Adverse effects include the displacement of 20 families and four businesses, destruction of vegetation within the area of construction, and the possible destruction of some timber.
COMMENTS MADE BY: HEW USA USDA DOI EPA
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51867)

Washington Forest Highway 7, Snohomish Co. 12/08

Washington
County: Snohomish
The statement refers to the proposed construction of Washington Forest Highway 7, Mountain Loop Highway, beginning at Barlon Pass in Snohomish County, and extending northerly 23 miles connecting to SR 530 in Darrington. The purpose of the road is to provide good access between Granit Falls and Darrington, completing an 85 mile scenic loop

highway. Adverse impacts include the permanent loss of 75 acres of vegetation and wildlife habitat, the temporary loss of 160 acres, and some increase in stream turbidity. Thirteen families will be displaced and a total of 52 lots will be taken. (Region 10)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI USDA DOC COE
state agencies, organizations, and individuals
(ELR ORDER # 51783)

I-64, Appalachian Corridor "L" 12/08

West Virginia
County: Raleigh
The statement discusses the anticipated social, economic, and environmental effects of seven proposed alternate schemes for I-64, Beckley to Bragg, and WVA Turnpike, Appalachian Corridor "L" from Beckley to Bradley. Adverse effects of the projects will be right-of-way acquisition, increased levels of air, noise and water pollution, and disturbance to wildlife. The exact number of miles, acres and displacements will not be known until one option is chosen.
COMMENTS MADE BY: HEW FPC COE AHP USDA DOC EPA DOI
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51777)

U.S.Coast Guard

Final Date

LORAN-C, Gulf of Alaska 12/29

The proposed action provides for the establishment of a new LORAN-C radio-navigation chain along the US West Coast and in the Gulf of Alaska. Stations will be located in Nevada, California, Washington, Alaska, and Southwestern Canada. There will be minimal adverse impact on local water supplies, sanitation systems, and electric utilities. There will be some visual impact at all stations due to the height of the towers.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOT EPA COE USDA DOC DOI
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51865)

Vessel Traffic System, Houston-Galveston Area 12/15

Texas
The statement refers to the establishment of a Vessel Traffic System for the Houston-Galveston area consisting of a combination of VHF-FM Communications, radar surveillance, and a Vessel Movement System in part, or total. There will be a slight noise increase during construction.

COMMENTS MADE BY: DOC USN USA DOI DOT EPA
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51821)

VETERANS ADMINISTRATION

Contact:

(For Medical Facilities)
Mr. Arthur W. Farmer
Assistant Chief, Medical Director for
Administration and Facilities
Veterans Administration
810 Vermont Avenue N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20420
(For Housing)
Mr. R.C. Coon
Director, Loan Guarantee Service
Veterans Administration
810 Vermont Avenue, NW
Washington, D.C. 20420
(202) 389-2332

Draft	Date
VA Hospital, Columbia (Supplement)	12/02

South Carolina
This supplement is intended to be the the final EIS for a 400-bed Replacement Hospital and modernization of existing VA Hospital by constucting a new 400-bed hospital tower building with a two-story auxillary base for clinics and support services and by alterations to existing buildings. Included in the project is a future Nursing Home Care Unit.
(ELR ORDER # 51745)

Summary of 102 Statements Filed with the CEQ Through

12/31/75 (By Agency)

Agency	Draft 102's for actions on which no final 102's have yet been received	Final 102's on legislation and actions	Total actions on which draft or final statements have been received
Agriculture, Department of	204	597	801
Appalachian Regional Commission	1	0	1
Architect of the Capitol	0	1	1
Atomic Energy Commission	42	144	186
Canal Zone Government	0	1	1
Civil Aeronautice Board	0	1	1
Commerce, Department of	19	41	60
Defense, Department of	8	7	15
Air Force	10	19	29
Army	3	20	23
Army Corps of Engineers	611	857	1468
Navy	12	33	45
Delaware River Basin Commission	2	8	10
Energy Policy Office	0	1	1
Energy Research & Development Admin.	4	3	7
Environmental Protection Agency	25	68	93
Federal Energy Administration	4	1	5
Federal Maritime Commission	3	0	3
Federal Power Commission	97	44	141
General Services Administration	22	91	113
HEW, Department of	4	14	18
HUD, Department of	51	136	187
Interior, Department of	167	318	485
International Boundary and Water Commission -- U.S. and Mexico	1	8	9
Interstate Commerce Commission	9	5	14
Justice, Department of	2	3	5
Labor, Department of	5	2	7
National Aeronautics and Space Adm.	9	22	31
National Capital Planning Comm.	5	8	13
National Science Foundation	1	6	7
New England River Basins Commission	2	0	2
Nuclear Regulatory Commission	8	18	26
Office of Science and Technology	0	1	1
Ohio River Basin Commission	1	0	1
Pacific Northwest River Basins Comm.	3	0	3
Pennsylvania Ave. Devel. Corp.	0	1	1
Souris-Red-Rainy River Basins Comm.	1	0	1
State Department	3	5	8
Tennessee Valley Authority	10	24	34
Transportation, Department of	994	2051	3045
Treasury, Department of	4	7	11
Upper Mississippi River Basin Comm.	1	0	1
U.S. Postal Service	3	0	3
U.S. Water Resources Council	6	9	15
Veterans Administration	2	8	10
TOTALS	2359	4583	6942

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

OFFICE OF THE
ADMINISTRATOR

AVAILABILITY OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY COMMENTS ON ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS AND OTHER ACTIONS IMPACTING THE ENVIRONMENT

Pursuant to the requirements of section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, and section 309 of the Clean Air Act, as amended, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has reviewed and commented in writing on Federal agency actions impacting the environment contained in the following appendices during the period of December 1, 1975 and December 31, 1975.

Appendix I contains a listing of draft environmental impact statements reviewed and commented upon in writing during this review period. The list includes the Federal agency responsible for the statement, the number and title of the statement, the classification of the nature of EPA's comments as defined in Appendix II, and the EPA source for copies of the comments as set forth in Appendix VI.

Appendix II contains the definitions of the classifications of EPA's comments on the draft environmental impact statements

as set forth in Appendix I.

Appendix III contains a listing of final environmental impact statements reviewed and commented upon in writing during this review period. The listing includes the Federal agency responsible for the statement, the number and title of the statement, a summary of the nature of EPA's comments, and the EPA source for copies of the comments as set forth in Appendix VI.

Appendix IV contains a listing of final environmental impact statements reviewed but not commented upon by EPA during this review period. The listing includes the Federal agency responsible for the statement, the number and title of the statement, and the source of the EPA review as set forth in Appendix VI.

Appendix V contains a listing of proposed Federal agency regulations, legislation proposed by Federal agencies, and any other proposed actions reviewed and commented upon in writing pursuant to section 309(a) of the Clean Air Act, as amended, during the referenced reviewing period. The listing includes the Federal agency responsible for the proposed action, the title of the action, a summary of the nature of EPA's comments,

and the source for copies of the comments as set forth in Appendix VI.

Appendix VI contains a listing of the names and addresses of the sources of EPA reviews and comments listed in Appendices I, III, IV, and V.

Copies of the EPA Manual setting forth the policies and procedures for EPA's review of agency actions may be obtained by writing the Public Information Reference Unit, Environmental Protection Agency, Room 2922, Waterside Mall SW, Washington, DC 20460, telephone 202/755-2808. Copies of the draft and final environmental impact statements referenced herein are available from the originating Federal department or agency.

Rebecca W. Hanmer

Rebecca W. Hanmer
Acting Director
Office of Federal Activities

Dated: 1/21/76

APPENDIX I

DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS FOR WHICH COMMENTS WERE ISSUED BETWEEN DECEMBER 1, 1975 AND DECEMBER 31, 1975

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE			
D-AFS-B61004-NH:	KANCAMAGUS UNIT PLAN, CARROLL AND GRAFTON COUNTIES, NEW HAMPSHIRE	LO-1	B
D-AFS-K08002-NV:	BUCKEYE TO ROUND HILL, 120 KV POWER TRANSMISSION LINE, TOIYABE NATIONAL FOREST, DOUGLAS COUNTY, NEVADA	LO-1	J
D-AFS-K61005-CA:	LAND USE PLAN, SHASTA AND CLAIR ENGLE-LEWISTON UNITS, WHISKEYTOWN-SHASTA-TRINITY NATIONAL RECREATION AREA, CALIFORNIA	ER-2	J
D-AFS-L61051-WA:	UPPER LEWIS RIVER PLANNING UNIT, GIFFORD PINCHOT NATIONAL FOREST, VANCOUVER, WASHINGTON	ER-2	K
D-AFS-L61052-ID:	LAND USE PLAN, COUNCIL PLANNING UNIT, PAYETTE NATIONAL FOREST, IDAHO	LO-2	K
D-REA-E08006-SC:	TRANSMISSION LINES, 230 KV, SUMNER TO NEWBERRY, TO BLYTHEWOOD AND VARNVILLE TO HILTON HEAD, SOUTH CAROLINA	LO-2	E
D-REA-K07001-AZ:	APACHE UNITS 2 AND 3, RELATED TRANSMISSION LINES, COCHISE, GREENLEE, GRAHAM, AND PIMA COUNTIES, ARIZONA	ER-2	J
D-SCS-B36007-CT:	NORWALK RIVER WATERSHED, FAIRFIELD COUNTY, CONNECTICUT	LO-1	B
D-SCS-D36012-VA:	GREAT CREEK WATERSHED, BRUNSWICK AND LUNENBURG COUNTIES, VIRGINIA	ER-2	D
D-SCS-G36035-AR:	UPPER PETIT JEAN WATERSHED PROJECT, LOGAN, SCOTT, AND SEBASTIAN COUNTIES, ARKANSAS	LO-1	G
CORPS OF ENGINEERS			
DS-COE-A36304-IN:	LITTLE CALUMET RIVER, FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT, INDIANA	ER-2	F

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
D-COE-D07002-WV:	PROJECT 1301, NEW POWER PLANT ON THE OHIO RIVER, NEW HAVEN, WEST VIRGINIA	ER-2	D
D-COE-E32011-AL:	THEODORE SHIP CHANNEL AND BARGE CHANNEL EXTENSION, MOBILE HARBOR, MOBILE BAY, ALABAMA	ER-2	E
D-COE-E32014-NC:	CAPE FEAR RIVER, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE ABOVE WILMINGTON, NORTH CAROLINA	ER-2	E
D-COE-F32031-MI:	DETROIT RIVER, MAINTENANCE DREDGING, NAVIGATION CHANNELS, MICHIGAN	LO-1	F
D-COE-F32032-MI:	ROUGE RIVER, MAINTENANCE DREDGING OF NAVIGATION CHANNELS, MICHIGAN	LO-1	F
D-COE-F32033-MI:	CLINTON RIVER, MAINTENANCE DREDGING OF THE FEDERAL NAVIGATION CHANNELS, MICHIGAN	LO-2	F
DS-COE-G34008-LA:	GULF INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, SECTION REPLACEMENT OF VERMILION LOCK, LOUISIANA	ER-2	G
D-COE-G99002-OK:	FORT HOWARD PAPER COMPANY, RECYCLED-DEINKED PULP AND PAPER MILL, MUSKOGEE COUNTY, OKLAHOMA	LO-1	G
D-COE-K32006-HI:	WAIANAE SMALL BOAT HARBOR, OAHU, HAWAII	3	J
D-COE-K36013-CA:	WALNUT CREEK PROJECT, CONTRA COSTA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA	LO-2	J
D-COE-K39004-CA:	PORT SONOMA DEVELOPMENT PROJECT, SONOMA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA	ER-2	J
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE			
D-NOA-B61003-ME:	PROPOSED FEDERAL APPROVAL OF THE COASTAL ZONE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM, MID COAST SEGMENT, MAINE	LO-2	B
FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION			
D-FPC-E05006-SC:	BAD CREEK PUMPED STORAGE PROJECT, NUMBER 2740, SOUTH CAROLINA	ER-2	E
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION			
D-GSA-D81005-DC:	RELOCATION OF GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE, WASHINGTON, DC	LO-2	D

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
D-GSA-K81004-CA:	LEASE CONSTRUCTION OF SSA DATA OPERATIONS CENTER, SALINAS, MONTEREY COUNTY, CALIFORNIA	LO-1	J
DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT			
D-HUD-B89006-MA:	CLEARANCE OF UNSAFE BUILDINGS, BOSTON DEMOLITION PROGRAM, (CDBG), SUFFOLK COUNTY, MASSACHUSETTS	LO-1	B
D-HUD-C25001-PR:	EXPANSION OF SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL SITE, (CDBG), MUNICIPALITY OF COAMA, PUERTO RICO	LO-2	C
D-HUD-C85006-PR:	RESIDENTIAL INTERAMERICANA, PROJECT 74-275, TRUJILLO, PUERTO RICO	LO-2	C
D-HUD-G85009-TX:	MAHON SCHOOL AREA HOUSING REHABILITATION PROGRAM, (CDBG), LUBBOCK COUNTY, TEXAS	LO-1	G
D-HUD-J85001-CO:	PIER POINT, A PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT, AURORA, COLORADO	ER-2	I
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR			
D-BLM-L61053-OR:	PROPOSED NORTH UMPQUA CANYON MANAGEMENT PLAN, DOUGLAS COUNTY, OREGON	ER-1	K
DEPARTMENT OF LABOR			
RD-OSH-A27003-00:	COKE OVEN EMISSIONS	LO-2	A
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION			
DS-DOT-A41101-KS:	I-435, JOHNSON AND WYANDOTTE COUNTIES, KANSAS	ER-2	H
D-FAA-F51004-IN:	CLARK COUNTY AIRPORT DEVELOPMENT, JEFFERSONVILLE, INDIANA	ER-2	F
D-FAA-K57003-CA:	MUNICIPAL AIRPORT AT REEDLEY, FRESNO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA	LO-1	J
D-FHW-B40014-MA:	MA-146, SUTTON, NORTHBRIDGE, DOUGLAS, UXBRIDGE, MILLVILLE, WORCESTER COUNTY, MASSACHUSETTS	LO-1	B

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
D-FHW-C40018-NY:	I-508, SUSQUEHANNA EXPRESSWAY I-88, HINMANS CORNER TO PORT CRANE, BROOME COUNTY, NEW YORK	LO-2	C
D-FHW-E40064-GA:	BROOKWOOD STATION AREA IMPROVEMENTS, I-85, I-75, FULTON AND DEKALB COUNTIES, GEORGIA	LO-2	E
D-FHW-F40041-MI:	RECONSTRUCTION OF THE US 2 BRIDGE OVER THE MANISTIQUE RIVER, MICHIGAN	ER-2	F
D-FHW-F40042-WI:	WI-22 WAUTOMA, WAUPACA ROAD, PORTAGE AND WAUPACA COUNTIES, MICHIGAN	LO-1	F
D-FHW-G40043-TX:	METROPOLITAN HIGHWAY 50, VICKERY VIADUCT URBAN HIGH DENSITY TRAFFIC IMPROVEMENT PROJECT, TARRANT COUNTY, TEXAS	LO-1	G
D-FHW-G53001-TX:	BROWNSVILLE-MATAMOROS RAILROAD DEMONSTRATION PROJECT, CAMERON COUNTY, BROWNSVILLE, TEXAS	LO-1	G
D-FHW-H40038-NB:	CENTER STREET AND MERCY ROAD INTERSECTION, OMAHA, DOUGLAS COUNTY, NEBRASKA	LO-2	H
D-FHW-H40039-IA:	IA-9, ALLAMAKEE COUNTY, IOWA	LO-1	H
D-FHW-H40040-NB:	US 83, NORTH PLATTE SOUTH, LINCOLN COUNTY, NEBRASKA	LO-2	H
D-FHW-K40026-CA:	HARBOR BOULEVARD, BETWEEN FIFTH STREET AND CHANNEL BOULEVARD, OXNARD, VENTURA, COUNTY, CALIFORNIA	ER-2	J
D-FHW-K40027-CA:	FLORENCE AVENUE, BLOOMFIELD AVENUE TO TELEGRAPH ROAD, LOS ANGELES COUNTY, CALIFORNIA	ER-2	J
D-FHW-K40028-HI:	INTERCHANGE AT CASTLE JUNCTION, HONOLULU COUNTY, HAWAII	ER-2	J
D-FHW-L40028-ID:	ELK CITY HIGHWAY, FOREST HIGHWAY ROUTE 18, ID-14, IDAHO	LO-1	K
TENNESSEE VALLLEY AUTHORITY			
D-TVA-E61016-TN:	POOR VALLEY CREEK STATE PARK, HAWKINS COUNTY, TENNESSEE	LO-2	E
D-TVA-J01004-WY:	MORTON RANCH URANIUM MINING, WYOMING	ER-2	I

APPENDIX II

DEFINITIONS OF CODES FOR THE GENERAL NATURE
OF EPA COMMENTS

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF THE ACTION

LO--Lack of Objection

EPA has no objections to the proposed action as described in the draft impact statement; or suggests only minor changes in the proposed action.

ER--Environmental Reservations

EPA has reservations concerning the environmental effects of certain aspects of the proposed action. EPA believes that further study of suggested alternatives or modifications is required and has asked the originating Federal agency to reassess these impacts.

EU-Environmentally Unsatisfactory

EPA believes that the proposed action is unsatisfactory because of its potentially harmful effect on the environment. Furthermore, the Agency believes that the potential safeguards which might be utilized may not adequately protect the environment from hazards arising from this action. The Agency recommends that alternatives to the action be analyzed further (including the possibility of no action at all).

ADEQUACY OF THE IMPACT STATEMENT

Category 1--Adequate

The draft impact statement adequately sets forth the environmental impact of the proposed project or action as well as alternatives reasonably available to the project or action.

Category 2--Insufficient Information

EPA believes that the draft impact statement does not contain sufficient information to assess fully the environmental impact of the proposed project or

action. However, from the information submitted, the Agency is able to make a preliminary determination of the impact on the environment. EPA has requested that the originator provide the information that was not included in the draft statement.

Category 3--Inadequate

EPA believes that the draft impact statement does not adequately assess the environmental impact of the proposed project or action, or that the statement inadequately analyzes reasonable available alternatives. The Agency has requested more information and analysis concerning the potential environmental hazards and has asked that substantial revision be made to the impact statement.

APPENDIX III

FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS FOR WHICH
COMMENTS WERE ISSUED BETWEEN
DECEMBER 1, 1975 AND DECEMBER 31, 1975

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE			
F-SCS-A36388-00:	EMERGENCY WATERSHED PROTECTION, AUTHORIZED BY SECTION 216 OF THE FLOOD CONTROL ACT OF 1950, PL 81-516	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROGRAM AS PROPOSED. HOWEVER, EPA RAISED SEVERAL CONCERNS WITH THE PROGRAM, INCLUDING THE TIMING OF EMERGENCY ACTIONS A LACK OF DEFINITION OF THE INTERACTION OF THE PROGRAM WITH OTHER EMERGENCY PROGRAMS, AND THE INCLUSION OF ACTIONS SEEMINGLY OUT OF AN EMERGENCY NATURE IN THE PROGRAM. EPA SUGGESTED THAT THEIR CONCERNS BE ADDRESSED IN PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION.	A
F-SCS-F36025-IN:	PRAIRIE CREEK WATERSHED, VIGO COUNTY, INDIANA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT. HOWEVER, EPA RECOMMENDED THAT THE SCS INITIATE A STUDY OF THE BIOLOGICAL EFFECTS OF ONE-SIDE CHANNELIZATION MEASURES UPON THE STREAM ECOSYSTEM.	F
CORPS OF ENGINEERS			
F-COE-A35022-OH:	PILOT SEDIMENT REMOVAL PROGRAM, CUYAHOGA RIVER, OHIO	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	F
FS-COE-A35106-SC:	COLLETON RIVER AT VICTORIA BLUFF, BEAUFORT COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA	THE CONTEMPLATED DELETION OF A LARGE PORTION OF BUFFER AREA AS RAISED IN THE SUPPLEMENTAL EIS NOW INTRODUCES THE POSSIBILITY THAT A MORE ENVIRONMENTALLY DAMAGING TYPE OF INDUSTRY MAY DEVELOP IN THE 308 ACRES REMOVED FROM THE BUFFER AREA. WITH NO REAL ASSURANCE AS TO THE FUTURE USE OF THIS ADDITIONAL ACREAGE, EPA QUESTIONS	E

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
		THE NEED FOR REDUCTION OF THE BUFFER ZONE. IF MORE INFORMATION FROM CHICAGO BRIDGE AND IRON IS NOT FORTHCOMING EPA WOULD HAVE RESERVATIONS CONCERNING THE OPEN-ENDED NATURE OF THE REVISED PERMIT APPLICATION.	
FS-COE-A36420-00:	TOMBIGBEE RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, LUXAPALILA CREEK SEGMENT, ALABAMA AND MISSISSIPPI	EPA BELIEVES THAT ITS COMMENTS EXPRESSING ENVIRONMENTAL RESERVATIONS ON THE FINAL EIS DATED JULY 22, 1975 ARE STILL APPLICABLE TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT. SPECIFICALLY, EPA BELIEVES THAT THE CHANNELIZATION OF THE 22 STREAMS TRIBUTARY TO THE TENNESSEE-TOMBIGBEE WATERWAY PROJECT IS UNNECESSARY AND, IN MOST RESPECTS, IS DETRIMENTAL TO THE OVERALL WATER QUALITY OF THE PROJECT. IT IS NOTED THAT THE CORPS IS RECOMMENDING THAT THE CHANNELIZATION OF THE SIPSEY AND NOXUBEE RIVERS BE DROPPED, BUT FROM A WATER QUALITY STANDPOINT, THE ELIMINATION OF THE CHANNELIZATION IN THE RECEIVING STREAMS WOULD ALSO BE BENEFICIAL.	E
F-COE-A36440-OH	BIG CREEK AND METRO ZOO AND AESTHETIC IMPROVEMENTS, CLEVELAND, OHIO	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	F
F-COE-D28001-VA:	LITTLE CREEK WATER SUPPLY RESERVOIR, JAMES CITY COUNTY, VIRGINIA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED. A SURVEY IS BEING CARRIED OUT TO DETERMINE THE CHLOROFORM CONTENT OF THE FINISHED DRINKING WATER FROM THIS TREATMENT PLANT (NEWPORT NEWS). IN THE EVENT THE CHLOROFORM CONTENT IS HIGH, TECHNOLOGY HAS BEEN DEVELOPED AND SHOULD BE APPLIED TO REMOVE HUMIC ACIDS AT THE TREATMENT FACILITY PREVENTING THE PRODUCTION OF CHLOROFORM.	D

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
F-COE-E32005-NC:	MAINTENANCE OF ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, NORTH CAROLINA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	E
F-COE-F32012-MI:	MAINTENANCE DREDGING OF UNPOLLUTED HARBORS, MICHIGAN	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	F
F-COE-F34004-OH:	CLARENCE J. BROWN DAM AND RESERVOIR, OHIO	EPA HAS DETERMINED THAT THE FINAL EIS WAS UNRESPONSIVE TO EPA'S COMMENTS ON THE DRAFT EIS. THE FINAL EIS DID NOT PROVIDE THE REQUESTED INFORMATION ON THE PROJECT'S WATER QUALITY IMPACT AND IN THE PROPOSED MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR THE RESERVOIR. IN ADDITION, EPA CONTINUES TO HAVE ENVIRONMENTAL RESERVATIONS ON THE PROJECT.	F
F-COE-K35000-CA:	MAINTENANCE DREDGING, PETALUMA RIVER, SONOMA AND MARIN COUNTIES, CALIFORNIA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	J
F-COE-K35002-CA:	MARINERS ISLAND, REGULATORY PERMIT APPLICATION, SAN MATEO, SAN MATEO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	J
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE			
RF-NOA-A86092-00:	PERMITS TO COMMERCIAL FISHERMEN ALLOWING THE TAKING OF MARINE MAMMALS IN THE COURSE OF NORMAL COMMERCIAL FISHING OPERATIONS	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE INTENT OF THE PROPOSED REGULATIONS. HOWEVER, EPA DOES HAVE ENVIRONMENTAL RESERVATIONS CONCERNING TWO IMPORTANT ASPECTS OF PERMIT PROGRAMS: THE CURTAILMENT OF THE OBSERVER PROGRAM AND THE MODIFICATION OF THE QUOTA SYSTEM.	A
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE			
F-USN-A11048-CA:	OFF-ROAD VEHICLE USE OF MIRROR LAKE NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER, CHINA LAKE, KERN AND SAN BERNARDINO COUNTIES, CALIFORNIA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	J

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION			
F-GSA-D11002-MD:	DISPOSAL OF A MAJOR PORTION OF LAND, FORT HOLABIRD, MARYLAND	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED. HOWEVER, EPA RECOMMENDED THAT THE CONTRACT BETWEEN GSA AND THE CITY OF BALTIMORE INCLUDE EXPLICIT PROVISIONS CONCERNING ENFORCEMENT OF APPLICABLE ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATIONS	D
F-GSA-F81001-IL:	FEDERAL OFFICE BUILDING, CARBONDALE, ILLINOIS	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED. HOWEVER, EPA BELIEVES THE USE OF POROUS MATERIAL IS VIABLE AND WARRANTS FULL CONSIDERATION AND THAT INGRESS AND EGRESS POINTS SHOULD BE COORDINATED TO CAUSE MINIMAL DELAYS TO NORMAL STREET TRAFFIC.	F
DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT			
F-HUD-C99001-NY:	CONSTRUCTION OF ACCESS ROADS AND WATER AND SEWER LINES TO THE PROPOSED ARCADIA INDUSTRIAL PARK, WAYNE COUNTY, NEW YORK	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	C
F-HUD-D89011-PA:	WASHINGTON SQUARE WEST URBAN RENEWAL AREA, PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYVLANIA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	D
F-HUD-D89014-MD:	INNER HARBOR WEST URBAN RENEWAL, BALTIMORE, MARYLAND	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED. HOWEVER, EPA SUGGESTED CONTINUING EVALUATION OF AIR AND NOISE IMPACTS TO INSURE MINIMIZATION OF POTENTIALLY NEGATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS.	D
F-HUD-L38001-WA:	RODEO LAKE SURFACE DRAINAGE AND WATER TABLE LOWERING OTHELLO SUBURBS, ADAMS COUNTY, WASHINGTON	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	K
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR			
F-BLM-A02080-AK:	OIL AND GAS LEASE SALE NO. 39, OUTER CONTINENTAL SHELF, OCS, NORTHERN GULF OF ALASKA	EPA DETERMINED THE PROPOSED ACTION TO BE ENVIRONMENTALLY UNSATISFACTORY FROM THE STANDPOINT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY BASED ON ITS POTENTIALLY HARMFUL	A

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
		EFFECTS ON THE ENVIRONMENT AND ON THE FACT THAT POTENTIAL OPERATIONAL AND TECHNICAL SAFEGUARDS WHICH MIGHT BE UTILIZED MAY NOT ADEQUATELY PROTECT THE ENVIRONMENT FROM HAZARDS ARISING FROM THE ACTION. EPA RECOMMENDED THAT THE SALE BE DELAYED PENDING THE ISSUANCE OF ADEQUATE OPERATING ORDERS AND THE COMPLETION OF ENVIRONMENTAL BASELINE AND OTHER SPECIAL STUDIES FOR THE AREA. A FORMAL REFERRAL TO THE COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY WAS MADE PURSUANT TO SECTION 309(B) OF THE CLEAN AIR ACT.	
F-BOR-F60001-MN:	MEMORIAL HARDWOOD FOREST LAND ACQUISITION, MINNESOTA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	F
INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION			
F-ICC-D53003-DC:	INCREASED FARES, BALTIMORE AND OHIO RAILROAD, D.C. TO BALTIMORE, WASHINGTON, D.C.	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	D
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION			
F-FAA-A51820-MI:	BLACKER AIRPORT, MANISTEE COUNTY, MICHIGAN	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	F
F-FAA-A51856-MI:	MASON COUNTY AIRPORT, LUDINGTON, MICHIGAN	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED. HOWEVER, EPA BELIEVES THAT FUTURE NOISE IMPACTS SHOULD BE EXAMINED BEFORE CONSTRUCTION OF THE PROPOSAL.	F
F-FAA-A51908-IN:	MOUNT COMFORT AIRPORT, MOUNT COMFORT, INDIANA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	F
F-FHW-A41683-MN:	US 61, BY-PASS, WINONA COUNTY, MINNESOTA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	F

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
F-FHW-A42218-MI:	I-475 AND PIERSON ROAD, GENESSEE COUNTY, MICHIGAN	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED. HOWEVER, EPA SUGGESTED CONSIDERATION BE GIVEN TO A COST/EFFECTIVE ANALYSIS OF POSSIBLE METHODS FOR TREATMENT OF HIGHWAY RUNOFF AND URGED IMPLEMENTATION OF NOISE MITIGATION FACTORS.	F
F-FHW-A42278-NY:	CITY OF KINGSTON, NORTH-SOUTH ARTERIAL, NORTH BROADWAY TO NY-32, ULSTER COUNTY, NEW YORK	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	C
F-FHW-E40032-GA:	U-110-1(5), CHATHAM COUNTY, BETWEEN ABERCORN STREET AND SKIDAWAY ROAD, SAVANNAH, GEORGIA	EPA GENERALLY HAS NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED. HOWEVER, EPA BELIEVES THE DOCUMENTATION OF THE EXISTING ENVIRONMENT FOR NOISE IMPACT IS DEFICIENT BECAUSE THE ACTUAL IMPACT DEPENDS UPON THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE EXISTING AMBIENT AND THE DESIGN YEAR L_{10} NOISE LEVEL, ACCURATE DOCUMENTATION OF EXISTING CONDITIONS SHOULD BE PROVIDED.	E
F-FHW-F40008-WI:	WI-9, ONALASKA TO WI-93, LA CROSSE COUNTY, WISCONSIN	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	F
F-FHW-F41013-WI:	US 63, SMITH LAKE, SAWYER COUNTY, WISCONSIN	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	F
F-FHW-K53001-NV:	ELKO RAILROAD RELOCATION, ELKO, NEVADA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	J

APPENDIX IV

FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS WHICH
WERE REVIEWED AND NOT COMMENTED ON BETWEEN
DECEMBER 1, 1975 AND DECEMBER 31, 1975

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	SOURCE OF REVIEW
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE		
F-AFS-A65015-CO:	MARBLE WINTER SPORTS SITE, WHITE RIVER NATIONAL FOREST, COLORADO	I
F-AFS-B61001-00:	TIMBER MANAGEMENT PLAN, WHITE MOUNTAIN NATIONAL FOREST, MAINE AND NEW HAMPSHIRE	B
F-AFS-E61009-FL:	LONGLEAF ISLAND UNIT, OCALA NATIONAL FOREST, TEN YEAR MANAGEMENT PLAN, FLORIDA	E
F-AFS-E61011-NC:	NORTH FORK CATAWBA RIVER AND BUCK CREEK UNITS, PISGAH NATIONAL FOREST, AVERY, BURKE, AND MCDOWELL COUNTIES, NORTH CAROLINA	E
F-AFS-G82001-AR:	VEGETATION MANAGEMENT WITH HERBICIDES, OZARK-ST. FRANCIS NATIONAL FORESTS, ARKANSAS	G
F-AFS-L61005-ID:	PROPOSED BIGWOOD SKI AREA DEVELOPMENT, SAWTOOTH NATIONAL FOREST, IDAHO	K
F-AFS-L61034-OR:	WALLOWA VALLEY PLANNING UNIT, WALLOWA-WHITMAN NATIONAL FOREST, OREGON	K
F-SCS-G36018-TX:	POLLARD CREEK WATERSHED PROJECT, PALO PINTO COUNTY, TEXAS	G
F-SCS-G36023-LA:	UPPER AND BAYOU NEZPIQUE WATERSHED, EVANGELINE AND ALLEN PARISHES, LOUISIANA	G
CORPS OF ENGINEERS		
F-COE-A36390-WA:	MILL CREEK FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT, WALLA WALLA COUNTY, WASHINGTON	K
F-COE-A36428-WA:	GRAYS HARBOR AND CHEHALIS RIVER NAVIGATION PROJECT, GRAYS HARBOR COUNTY, WASHINGTON	K

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	SOURCE OF REVIEW
F-COE-A39051-DC:	EMERGENCY WATER PUMPING STATION, POTOMAC ESTUARY, WASHINGTON, D.C.	D
F-COE-D35007-00:	DELAWARE RIVER, TRENTON TO THE SEA, SCHUYLKILL RIVER AND WILMINGTON HARBOR TRIBUTARIES, PROJECT MAINTENANCE, NEW JERSEY, DELAWARE, AND PENNSYLVANIA	D
F-COE-G34004-OK:	KAW LAKE, ARKANSAS RIVER, OKLAHOMA	G
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE		
F-NOA-E61014-FL:	KEY LARGO CORAL REEF MARINE SANCTUARY, FLORIDA	E
FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION		
F-FPC-F03003-00:	PANHANDLE EASTERN PIPELINE COMPANY, DOCKET NO. RP71-119, NATURAL GAS CURTAILMENT PLAN	A
F-FPC-H03000-00:	CITIES SERVICE NATURAL GAS COMPANY, DOCKET NO. RP75-62, NATURAL GAS CURTAILMENT PLAN	A
F-FPC-H03001-00:	MISSISSIPPI RIVER TRANSMISSION CORPORATION, DOCKET NO. RP73-6, NATURAL GAS CURTAILMENT PLAN	A
F-FPC-K03002-00:	EL PASO NATURAL GAS COMPANY, DOCKET NO. RP72-6, NATURAL GAS CURTAILMENT PLAN	A
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION		
F-GSA-G81005-TX:	FEDERAL YOUTH CENTER, BASTROP COUNTY, TEXAS	G
DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT		
F-HUD-B89004-MA:	URBAN RENEWAL PROJECT, HERITAGE PLAZA EAST, ESSEX COUNTY, SALEM, MASSACHUSETTS	B
NF-HUD-E28005-TN:	MARSHALL COUNTY BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES, PHASE II, WATER LINE EXTENSION, TENNESSEE	E
F-HUD-E89001-TN:	CROMWELL ROAD LOW-RENT PUBLIC HOUSING, PROJECT TENNESSEE 4-21, CHATTANOOGA, TENNESSEE	E

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	SOURCE OF REVIEW
F-HUD-G85005-TX:	COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM, WILLIAMSON CREEK SEWER TRUNK, TEMPLE, TEXAS	G
F-HUD-G85006-TX:	PARK FOREST NORTH SUBDIVISION, COLLIN COUNTY, PLANO, TEXAS	G
F-HUD-G85008-TX:	NORTHWEST TEXARKANA SEWER MAINS PROJECT, BOWIE COUNTY, TEXARKANA, TEXAS	G
F-HUD-H91000-MO:	DANGEROUS BUILDINGS AND DEMOLITION, KANSAS CITY, JACKSON COUNTY, MISSOURI	H
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR		
F-NPS-A61289-WY:	MASTER PLAN, GRAND TETON NATIONAL PARK, WYOMING	I
F-SFW-A61224-FL:	PROPOSED LAKE WOODRUFF WILDERNESS AREA, LAKE AND VOLUSIA COUNTIES, FLORIDA	E
F-SFW-A61241-MS:	PROPOSED NOXUBEE WILDERNESS AREA, NOXUBEE NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE, OKTIBBEHA COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI	E
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION		
F-CGD-B81002-MA:	PROPOSED COAST GUARD STATION, BARNSTABLE COUNTY, PROVINCETOWN, MASSACHUSETTS	B
F-FHW-A41116-NC:	US 220, I-85 TO I-40, GUILFORD COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA	E
NF-FHW-A41314-NC:	I-40, FROM RIDGECREST TO OLD FORT, MCDOWELL COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA	E
F-FHW-A41499-TN:	TN-95, LOUDON COUNTY, TENNESSEE	E
F-FHW-A42129-TN:	APPALACHIAN CORRIDOR "J", TN-111, VAN DUREN COUNTY, TENNESSEE	E
F-FHW-A42220-WA:	WASHINGTON FOREST HIGHWAY, WA-7, MOUNTAIN LOOP, HIGHWAY BARLOW PASS TO DARRINGTON, WASHINGTON	K
F-FHW-C40003-NY:	WELLSVILLE CONNECTOR, WELLSVILLE, ALLEGHENY COUNTY, NEW YORK	C
NF-FHW-E40001-FL:	FL-75, US 231, FROM BAY COUNTY LINE NORTH TO I-10 IN JACKSON COUNTY, FLORIDA	E

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	SOURCE OF REVIEW
F-FHW-E40005-TN:	TN-58, HAMILTON COUNTY, TENNESSEE	E
NF-FHW-E40037-FL:	FL-5, MONROE COUNTY, FLORIDA	E
F-FHW-K40031-CA:	CA-15 BETWEEN I-805 AND I-8, SAN DIEGO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA	J

VETERANS ADMINISTRATION

F-VAD-A81098-SC:	400 BED REPLACEMENT HOSPITAL AND MODERNIZATION OF EXISTING BUILDINGS AT VETERANS HOSPITAL, COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA	E

APPENDIX V

REGULATIONS, LEGISLATION AND OTHER FEDERAL AGENCY ACTIONS FOR WHICH COMMENTS WERE ISSUED BETWEEN DECEMBER 1, 1975 AND DECEMBER 31, 1975

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION			
R-ERD-A09038-00:	10 CFR PART 790, GEOTHERMAL ENERGY, RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT, DEMONSTRATION AND PRODUCTION; FEDERAL GUARANTEES ON LOANS	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROPOSED REGULATION. HOWEVER, EPA RECOMMENDED THAT THE REGULATION BE REVISED TO REQUIRE THAT IN THE ABSENCE OF APPLICABLE ENVIRONMENTAL STANDARDS, THE ERDA PROJECT MANAGER SHOULD CONSULT WITH THE APPROPRIATE FEDERAL, STATE, AND LOCAL REGULATORY BODIES PRIOR TO THE INDEPENDENT ESTABLISHMENT OF A STANDARD. EPA ALSO RECOMMENDED THAT "RADIATION POLLUTION" BE ADDED TO THE POLLUTION CONTROL STANDARDS WHICH MUST BE MET BY BORROWERS OF ERDA FUNDS.	A
FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION			
R-FEA-A04031-00:	10 CFR PART 214, MANDATORY ALLOCATION OF CANADIAN OIL	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROPOSED REGULATION. HOWEVER, EPA EXPRESSED CONCERN OVER THE POTENTIAL FOR NEW OR AGGRAVATED IMPACTS ON THE ENVIRONMENT FROM ACTIONS TAKEN AS A RESULT OF REGULATIONS AND RECOMMENDED THAT FEA MAY WISH TO MAKE A DETAILED ANALYSIS OF THE EXPECTED ALTERNATIVE CRUDE OIL SUPPLY PLANS OF AFFECTED REFINERIES; ESPECIALLY AS THEY MAY INVOLVE SIGNIFICANT CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES AND ASSOCIATED ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS.	A
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR			
R-BLM-A25034-00:	43 CFR PART 2912, RECREATION AND	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROPOSED	A

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
	PUBLIC PURPOSES ACT, PROCESSING AND DISPOSAL OF SOLID WASTE	RULEMAKING. EPA'S COMMENTS REQUESTED THAT THE FINAL REGULATION RESOLVE SEVERAL ISSUES WITH RESPECT TO THE SCOPE OF THEIR APPLICABILITY, INCLUDING APPLICABILITY TO LEASES WHICH MAY NOT HAVE BEEN ISSUED SPECIFICALLY FOR THE PURPOSES OF SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL, AND SUGGESTED THAT THE FINAL RULEMAKING REQUIRE COMPLIANCE WITH ALL APPLICABLE POLLUTION CONTROL REGULATIONS AS WELL AS REQUIRE REMEDIAL ACTION TO BE TAKEN BY THE LESSEE UPON LEASE CANCELLATION FOR NONCOMPLIANCE.	
A-IGS-A02084-00:	NOTICES, DRILLING PROCEDURES, REVISION OF PROPOSED OCS ORDER NO. 2, PACIFIC AREA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROPOSED OCS ORDER. HOWEVER, EPA POINTED OUT THAT THE RELEASE OF HYDROGEN SULFIDE GAS AND SULFUR DIOXIDE THAT MAY RESULT FROM FLARING MAY IMPACT ON THE AIR QUALITY OF NEARBY LAND AREAS AND THAT STATE ODOR NUISANCE REGULATIONS COULD BE VIOLATED UNDER CERTAIN METEORLOGICAL CONDITIONS. IN ADDITION, EPA POINTED OUT THAT DISCHARGE OF MATERIALS FROM OIL DEVELOPMENT REQUIRES A NPDES PERMIT.	A
R-MES-A01030-00:	30 CFR PARTS 55, 56, AND 57, NEW AND REVISED HEALTH AND SAFETY STANDARDS	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROPOSED REGULATIONS. EPA DID, HOWEVER, NOTE APPARENT OMISSIONS IN REGULATING THE DISPOSAL OF RESIDUAL FLUIDS AND IN VARIOUS AIR QUALITY AND RADIATION MONITORING PROCEDURES. EPA ALSO RECOMMENDED THAT THE REGULATIONS REQUIRE COMPLIANCE WITH APPLICABLE NOISE ABATEMENT REGULATIONS.	A
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION			
RS-CGD-A52073-00:	33 CFR PART 157, TANK VESSELS CARRYING OIL IN DOMESTIC TRADE, PROTECTION OF MARINE ENVIRONMENT	EPA EXPRESSED SUBSTANTIAL CONCERN ABOUT (1) THE FORMULA APPROACH FOR DESIGN OF NEW VESSELS, (2) THE ADEQUACY OF THE DATA BASE AND THE ANALYSES UPON WHICH TO BASE NEW VESSEL REQUIREMENTS, AND (3) THE NEED TO ADDRESS THE ISSUE OF RETROFITTING EXISTING VESSELS. EPA PRESENTED AN ALTERNATIVE APPROACH FOR REDUCING HYPOTHETICAL ACCIDENT OIL OUTFLOW AND RECOMMENDED THAT MINIMUM SIDE PROTECTION FOR ALL DESIGNS BE INCREASED TO TWO METERS AND A CONCERTED INTERAGENCY EFFORT BE	A

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
		INITIATED IMMEDIATELY TO DEVELOP A MORE SUBSTANTIAL INFORMATION BASE FOR EXISTING VESSEL DESIGN OPTIONS WHICH WILL LEAD TO REASSESSMENT OF THE REGULATION BY JANUARY 1, 1978.	
R-DOT-A03054-00:	49 CFR PART 195, TRANSPORTATION OF LIQUIDS BY PIPELINE, OFFSHORE PIPELINE FACILITIES	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROPOSED REGULATION. HOWEVER,. EPA IDENTIFIED A NUMBER OF SECTIONS WHICH NEED TO BE REWRITTEN SO AS TO BE MORE PROTECTIVE OF THE OFFSHORE ENVIRONMENT. FOR INSTANCE, IT WAS SUGGESTED THAT THE SECTION ON EXTERNAL PRESSURES BE EXPANDED TO GIVE GUIDANCE ON HOW TO DEAL WITH EXTERNAL PRESSURES IN PIPELINE DESIGN AND THE SECTION WHICH WOULD ALLOW THE REPAIR, RATHER THAN THE REMOVAL AND REPLACEMENT, OF CRACKED WELDS ON PIPE BEING LAID FROM LAY BARGES WAS STRONGLY OPPOSED.	A
R-DOT-A03056-00:	49 CFR, PART 195, TRANSPORTATION OF NATURAL AND OTHER GAS BY PIPELINE, OFFSHORE PIPELINE	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROPOSED REGULATIONS. HOWEVER, EPA OFFERED SEVERAL RECOMMENDATIONS ON HOW THE PROPOSED REGULATIONS COULD BE CHANGED IN ORDER TO BE MORE PROTECTIVE OF RURAL AND OFFSHORE ENVIRONMENTS. ALSO, EPA STRONGLY OPPOSED THE PROPOSED PRACTICE WHICH WOULD ALLOW CRACKED WELDS ON PIPE BEING LAID BY BARGE TO BE REPAIRED, INSTEAD OF REMOVED AND REPLACED.	A
A-FAA-A51906-00:	AIRPORT NOISE POLICY, PROGRAM TO PROVIDE EFFECTIVE RELIEF FROM AIRCRAFT NOISE	EPA BELIEVES THAT A COMPREHENSIVE, NATIONAL AVIATION NOISE ABATEMENT AND CONTROL PROGRAM REQUIRES A VIGOROUSLY PURSUED RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM TO DEVELOP ADDITIONAL TECHNIQUES TO REDUCE SOME NOISE LEVELS AND THE CONTINUAL DEVELOPMENT AND APPLICATION OF NOISE ABATEMENT OPERATING PROCEDURES. IN ADDITION, EPA BELIEVES THAT APPROPRIATE SITE CONTROLS SHOULD BE APPLIED INCLUDING THE IMPLEMENTATION OF AIRPORT OPERATIONAL CONSTRAINTS AND THE DEVELOPMENT OF COMPATIBLE LAND USE AROUND AIRPORTS.	A

APPENDIX VI

SOURCE FOR COPIES OF EPA COMMENTS

A. Public Information Reference Unit
Environmental Protection Agency
Room 2922, Waterside Mall, SW
Washington, D.C. 20460

B. Director of Public Affairs, Region I
Environmental Protection Agency
John F. Kennedy Federal Building
Boston, Massachusetts 02203

C. Director of Public Affairs, Region II
Environmental Protection Agency
26 Federal Plaza
New York, New York 10007

D. Director of Public Affairs, Region III
Environmental Protection Agency
Curtis Building, 6th and Walnut Streets
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19106

E. Director of Public Affairs, Region IV
Environmental Protection Agency
1421 Peachtree Street, NE
Atlanta, Georgia 30309

F. Director of Public Affairs, Region V
Environmental Protection Agency
230 South Dearborn Street
Chicago, Illinois 60604

G. Director of Public Affairs, Region VI
Environmental Protection Agency
1600 Patterson Street
Dallas, Texas 75201

H. Director of Public Affairs, Region VII
Environmental Protection Agency
1735 Baltimore Street
Kansas City, Missouri 64108

I. Director of Public Affairs, Region VIII
Environmental Protection Agency
1860 Lincoln Street
Denver, Colorado 80203

J. Director of Public Affairs, Region IX
Environmental Protection Agency
100 California Street
San Francisco, California 94111

K. Director of Public Affairs, Region X
Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Sixth Avenue
Seattle, Washington, 98101

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
722 JACKSON PLACE, N. W.
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20006

102 Monitor

The 102 Monitor is the monthly publication of the Council on Environmental Quality. In addition to its listing of environmental impact statements which have been filed with the Council under the provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the Monitor contains a list of EPA's comments, filed under the provisions of section 309 of the Clean Air Act, as amended; items of current concern in NEPA case law; and information on the environmental studies of the Council.

The 102 Monitor is printed and distributed by the Government Printing Office. The subscription rate for the Monitor is $21.50 annually, with an additional $5.40 charge for foreign mailing; individual copies of the Monitor are $1.80 from GPO.

Superintendent of Documents
Government Printing Office
Washington, D.C. 20402

(Difficulties in obtaining copies of the 102 Monitor should be referred to GPO's Service Section, P.O. Box 1533, Washington, D.C. 20013)

*U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1976 211-480/7 1-3

Order Blank

To Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402

Date ....., 19.....

Name .....

Street address .....

City .....

State ..... Zip Code .....

FOR USE OF SUPT. OF DOCS.	
.....	Enclosed .....
.....	To be mailed later .....
.....	Subscription .....
	Refund .....
	Coupon Refund .....
	Postage .....

CATALOG NO.	QUANTITY DESIRED	TITLE OF PUBLICATION	PRICE PER COPY	TOTAL
				$

FOR ADDITIONAL SPACE ATTACH ANOTHER SHEET. TOTAL AMOUNT ENCLOSED.. $.....

IMPORTANT

Please include your ZIP CODE when filling out the mailing label below.

.....

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE
PUBLIC DOCUMENTS DEPARTMENT
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20402

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

RETURN AFTER 5 DAYS

POSTAGE AND FEES PAID
U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

Name .....

Street address .....

City and State ..... ZIP Code .....